

## WEILHEIMER DECLARES MOTHER INCAPACITATED BASED ON QUACK LEDAKIS FAKE "EVALUATION"

1. On August 6 2021, corrupt judge weilheimer claimed Mother was 100% "incapacitated" based ONLY on the guardian mafia's hand picked, paid off, quack psychologist, ledakis. The mafia used his testimony and his so-called "evaluation" to claim Mother was "incapacitated" and needed guardians of person and estate. Jaskowiak NEVER defended Mother in court, especially after learning ledakis's "evaluation" was a total fraud. Jaskowiak NEVER allowed Mother to come to court to testify because she would be able to prove she did not need to have guardians, thus the mafia would lose her assets by stealing them. NO people, that Mother ever dealt with every year (doctors, investment people, maid, hair dresser) were ever brought in to say Mother had any problems needing guardians and NO checkbooks, tax returns, etc, were ever used to show Mother had any financial problems because Mother had NO such problems. NO person in the mafia ever claimed Mother had any mental or behavior problems where she needed to be locked up with those type of people, which is exactly where the mafia put Mother with in her pig pen nursing home in lock down for 3 years until the mafia killed her.

Based on MY questioning at the petition hearing on Day 1 to determine if Mother needed to be guardianized, ledakis admitted he had NO independent proof of accuracy for any of his "tests" he used on Mother, he had NO independent proof of accuracy for his final "evaluation" of Mother and he admitted he NEVER audio or video taped any of his sessions with people by using a simple cell phone. That means, without any proof of what each person said or did not say, his same report could be used for 100's or thousands of people by using cut and paste of one name for another. Ledakis charges about \$5,000 for those made up "evaluations".

Even though I exposed ledakis as a fraud in court (selling a product that is fake is criminal), the mafia hired him many times because he always said and wrote what they wanted to say to keep Mother locked up in her pig pen, in solitary confinement, in a sensory deprived environment for their own financial benefit for their mafia. Ledakis always made it a point to lie about me so the mafia could use those lies to restrict and deny me visits with Mother for 3 years to the day she was killed by the mafia.

2. At the end of the petition hearing, one of the copies of ledakis's report was missing. Weilheimer accused me of keeping it because I was not allowed to have a copy for my own because I was not a lawyer, like the rest of the mafia. They wanted to make it as difficult for me as possible, even in the beginning of this scam. Without my own copy, I could not study the report, unlike the lawyers could. Even though I had a brief case and volunteered for them to look in it, none of them did. Harrassment.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA  
ORPHANS' COURT DIVISION

NO. 2021-X2110

IN RE: JANE HERRING,  
AN INCAPACITATED PERSON

**FINAL DECREE**

AND NOW, this 6<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2021, based upon the evidence received and the record, following a hearing, this Court finds, by clear and convincing evidence that JANE HERRING is adjudged a totally incapacitated person.

The Court finds that JANE HERRING suffers from dementia and cognitive decline due to Alzheimer's disease. These conditions totally impair her capacity to receive and evaluate information effectively and to make and communicate decisions concerning management of her financial affairs or to meet essential requirements for her physical health and safety. JANE HERRING is in need of a Guardian of the Estate and the Guardian of the Person. JANE HERRING's daughter, Jill Scott Herring is not asking to be appointed Guardian for her Mother. The Court finds that Arthur Herring, III, Jane Herring's son's request to be appointed as Guardian is hereby **DENIED**. For the reasons placed on the record, Arthur Herring, III is not an appropriate guardian for JANE HERRING. The Petitioner and Counsel for JANE HERRING jointly propose Dwayne Logie as the independent guardian. By email to Judicial Assistant, Karen Copestick at [kcopesti@montcopa.org](mailto:kcopesti@montcopa.org), Arthur Herring, III shall have until Tuesday, August 10, 2021 at 12:00 P.M. to propose the name of an independent guardian for the Court's consideration.

The Court specifically finds that JANE HERRING did not have the capacity to change her legal documents in December, 2020 and January, 2021 and hereby **ORDERS** that the Power of Attorney, 12/20/20 Will modification, 12/30/21 and 1/21/21 Amendments to the Trust Agreement and the 2/8/21 Deed Transfer are **VOID AB INITIO**.

The aforementioned judicial determinations have taken into consideration the matters required by 20 Pa. C.S.A. §5512.1. The Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law have been placed on the record at the evidentiary hearing. The Court will issue its final decree after consideration of each party's proposed independent guardian.

BY THE COURT:

  
GAIL A. WEILHEIMER, J.

Copy of above Hand Delivered/E-Filed 8/6/2021 to:  
David Jaskowiak, Esq.  
Brittany Camp, Esq.  
Arthur Herring, Pro Se

  
Judicial Court Clerk

IN RE: JANE T. HERRING

out for her and her best interests and give her contact to all people who will be positive influences on her. So, with that, this court has concluded and we are adjourned.

MR. HERRING: Your Honor, I have a question.

THE COURT: What is your question?

MR. HERRING: My question is you've given me till Tuesday?

THE COURT: Tuesday.

MR. HERRING: That's just not -- where would I look? Where am I supposed to look?

THE COURT: Google. I have a list of people and I am comfortable appointing Mr. Logie. I'm giving you the opportunity to do some research if you want to appoint someone else for me to consider. All you need to do is propose a name to me. That's it.

(At 5:44 p.m., proceedings were concluded.)

- - -

(The following proceedings occurred at 5:51 p.m.):

THE COURT: At the conclusion of this hearing it was brought to the attention of this Court

IN RE: JANE T. HERRING

191

1 that there is a concern as to whether or not Arthur  
2 Herring has taken a copy of Dr. Ledakis's report with  
3 him. That report was admitted into evidence and the  
4 Court is not objecting to Mr. Herring having a copy of  
5 that report at this time. But this Court is  
6 specifically ordering that that report is not to be  
7 shared in any way with Jane Herring, it is not to be  
8 reproduced in whole or in part in any way by any of the  
9 parties, and there will be no publishing of that report  
10 on the internet, in the newspaper, in any capacity in  
11 whole or in part, by scanning, photographing, or even  
12 reproducing by typing or writing it out.

13 Failure to comply with this order by any  
14 of the parties will be considered contempt of court and  
15 each of the parties will be subject to incarceration or  
16 a significant fine for violating this order.

17 Mr. Herring, do you understand my order?

18 MR. HERRING: Yeah.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Jaskowiak, do you  
20 understand my order?

21 MR. JASKOWIAK: Absolutely, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: And, Ms. Camp, will you  
23 explain my order to your client?

24 MS. CAMP: Yes, Your Honor.  
25