#### D.A. SCHORN AND PAROLE BOARD TOTALLY IGNORED EVIDENCE

- 1. I was falsely convicted of harassment based on a dirty cop, komatic and his fake affidavit claiming I had no reason to protest in front of jaskowiak's house in April 27 2024, even though komatic knew why I was there by his own admission in his affidavit. I was granted parole to get out of prison. The parole was for a year. My fine was \$1500. But there were restrictions to me not having any contact with jaskowiak. I followed all of them.
- 2. In order to get a lawyer to sue various people of the scam, I sent out a 2 full pages, single spaced letter to some lawyers in the state. I named many of the people involved in the 3 year guardian scam on Mother, including jaskowiak. Every lawyer wants to know the major people involved in a lawsuit to know if there will be a conflict of interest with any of them. But, the DA/parole board is claiming because I named jaskowiak in my letter as one of those major scam members, they are claiming I violated #9 of the no contact agreement. Their decision is to claim I violated my parole and should go back to prison to serve out my parole of several more months. The FACT is they are trying to do jaskowiak a favor and try to shut me up using lawfare and SLAPP so to deny me any ability to hire a lawyer to sue jaskowiak and others before the statute of limitation runs out to sue them. That is called corruption.
- 3. The letter I sent to lawyers looking to find one to sue the members of the guardian mafia. My 2 page letter goes into deep detail about guardian corruption and what the guardian mafia did to my Mother until she died at 99 on July 2 2024. This mafia kidnapped Mother, kept her in solitary confinement for 3 years, stole her money and later killed Mother while she was unconscious, at age 99, in a very slow, painful, barbaric and inhumane death of starvation and dehydration by morphine.

# BUCKS COUNTY ADULT PROBATION AND PAROLE DEPARTMEN

### "NO CONTACT" DEFINED

## CONTACT INCLUDES, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO:

- 1. Coming into visual or physical presence of the other;
- 2. Following the other person;
- 3. Waiting outside the home, property, place of work, or school of the other person or of a member of that person's family or household;
- 4. Sending or making written communications in any form to the other person;
- Speaking with the other by any means;
- Communicating with the other person through a third party, the internet or social networking sites;
- 7. Committing a crime against the other person;
- 8. Communicating with a third party who has some relationship with the other person with the intent of affecting the third party's relationship with the other person;
- 9. Communications with business or government entities with the intent of affecting some right or interest of the other person;
- 10. Damaging the other person's home, property, place of work, or school, or;
- 11. Delivering directly or through a third party any object to the home, property, place of work, or school of the other person.

If a situation is unclear or if I have any questions, I understand it is my responsibility to remove myself from the area of the victim and to contact my probation/parole officer for clarification before any contact occurs. I have read, had the opportunity to seek clarification and acknowledge my understanding of this "no contact" requirement.

7/16/24

Date

Probation/Parole Officer Signature

7/16/29

## IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS BUCKS COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CRIMINAL DIVISION

Z,

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

No.

CP-09-CR-0002262-2024

VS

Judge

Raymond F. McHugh

ARTHUR HERRING

冈

П

P.O.

Shannon Griffin

PRAECIPE FOR TECHNICAL VIOLATION HEARING

AND NOW, the Adult Probation Officer/District Attorney of Bucks County, requests the Honorable Court to schedule the above captioned matter for a probation/parole violation hearing. A technical violation has occurred, a Preliminary Violation (Gagnon I) Hearing conducted and the matter is now ripe for disposition.

PRAECIPE FOR VIOLATION HEARING AS A RESULT OF NEW CHARGES

AND NOW, the Adult Probation Officer/District Attorney of Bucks County, requests the Honorable Court to schedule the above captioned matter for a probation/parole violation hearing. A violation has occurred as a result of the defendant being arrested on new charges. The new charges have been disposed of and the matter is now ripe for disposition.

PRAECIPE FOR CONTINUANCES

AND NOW, the Adult Probation Officer/District Attorney of Bucks County, requests the Honorable Court to reschedule the above captioned matter for a probation/parole violation hearing. A praecipe was previously filed in this matter, subsequently scheduled for a hearing and continued.

WARRANT TYPE: ADJ

Respectfully submitted,

Bradley E. Halter

₩ 21 d 2- 10 100

OBAR

X I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

## COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF BUCKS COUNTY **CRIMINAL DIVISION GAGNON I HEARING**

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Bill No. CP-09-CR-0002262-2024

VS.

Judge

Raymond F. McHugh

ARTHUR HERRING

Date: April 2, 2025

PRESENT:

Defendant: Present

Not Present

■ Waived

Assigned Officer: Shannon Griffin

Attorney for Defendant: waived

Hearing Officer: Bradley E. Halter

APPD Representative: Shannon Griffin

REASON FOR HEARING:

Other: violation of the "No Victim Contact" order

SING. 

SALED

### **DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE**

| Appeared for Hearing           |                     |             |                      |        |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------|
| Failed to Appear or Retur      | n Signed Waiver     |             |                      |        |
| Waived Hearing                 |                     |             |                      |        |
| Admits Alleged Violation       | is Sending a letter | disparaging | the victim, maintain | ning a |
| website disparaging the victim |                     |             |                      |        |
| Denies Alleged Violation       | s emailing the vict | tim         |                      |        |

Comments: The defendant appeared this date for an appointment. We had been unable to contact the defendant regarding the change of the appointment to a Gagnon I hearing. PO Griffin provided him with the notice and he was asked if he would like to move the matter to next week or if he would like to move forward with a Gagnon I hearing. He advised her that he would like to move forward with the hearing. SBEH met with the defendant and PO Griffin. SBEH again asked him if he would like to move the matter a week to allow him to obtain an attorney, he again stated that he wished to have the matter heard on this date. SBEH colloqued the defendant regarding the listed violation. SBEH advised the defendant that he was aware that the defendant had advised his PO that his email had been hacked and he had deleted that email address as a result. SBEH had reviewed the email send as a result of the "hack" and advised that defendant that he would agree that the defendant was likely hacked and that part would not be considered. The defendant did have various emailed to verify that others had received similar emails from the "hacked email" and verification that he had that account shut off. The defendant was then shown a copy of the letter that had been received disparaging the victim and also that the postmark was after his sentencing date. He reviewed the letter and admitted that he had sent that and "hundreds" or others out. He indicated that he was trying to obtain an attorney to take his case regarding the alleged impropriety of his mother's guardianship. SBEH advised him that there was an alternative way to make that request without disparaging the victim. The defendant became upset and argumentative that SBEH was say "victim" as he did not believe that the victim was a victim. He was reminded several times that in regards to this court case, there was a victim.

SBEH also spoke to the defendant about his websites, which also disparaged the victim. The defendant again became argumentative and stated that was his "news site" and it was covered under free speech and covered under his constitutional rights. SBEH advised him that, again, there was a victim in the case and that this was in appropriate. SBEH read a copy of the "No victim Contact" form aloud to him and he was provided with a copy of the one he signed. The defendant kept trying to return to the guardianship case and SBEH had to remind him several times that we were only speaking about the instant offense. SBEH advised him several times that if he felt that a criminal offense had occurred that he needed to speak to the DA's Office. (This was due to the defendant making accusations against Police, and a Judge.) He also wanted to keep returning to the topic of the hacked email, after that had already been addressed. At this point, the defendant was advised that probable cause was established related to the violation of the "No Victim Contact" but SBEH would take some time to decide about the next course of action and he would receive an update from PO Griffin.

SBEH conferenced the matter with DC Harrison. SBEH decided that a Gagnon II hearing would need to be requested in this case.

### RESULT OF HEARING

X I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

| Sentencing Infon<br>Probable Cause I |                     | ⊠ Yes<br>⊠ Yes                        | □ No<br>□ No     |                                  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| As to: Vi                            | ctim Contact        |                                       |                  |                                  |  |  |
|                                      | aring requested. Se | ending a letter                       | r disparaging th | ne victim, maintaining a website |  |  |
| ☐ Violation Hea                      | aring not requested | i at this time                        | providing:       |                                  |  |  |
| ٠,                                   |                     | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | •                |                                  |  |  |
| Defendant's Address:                 |                     |                                       |                  |                                  |  |  |
| 258 N West End Blvd                  |                     |                                       |                  |                                  |  |  |
| Quakertown, PA 18951                 |                     | / 6                                   | 94               |                                  |  |  |
|                                      |                     | Bradley E. Halter, Hearing Officer    |                  |                                  |  |  |

beh : 4/2/25

X 1 certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

### WWW.JANEHERRING.NET



### JANE HERRING, 99, WAS KILLED BY GUARDIANSHIP CORRUPTION WITH MORPHINE

Go to <u>www.janeherring.net</u> to see the massive documents, emails, pictures, videos proving the guardianship scam on my much loved Mother, Jane Herring, who was finally killed by them with morphine.

I am looking for a lawyer/law firm to sue various individuals who were involved in a fake "guardianship" on my late elderly Mother only for her financial assets. Mother was a victim of a barbaric and inhumane "ownership" by a "guardianship mafia". This mafia includes 2 corrupt judges, corrupt guardians, their corrupt lawyers, corrupt psychologist, Mother's court assigned lawyer and a "care manager". For 3 years, Mother suffered gross psychological, physical and financial neglect and exploitation by this "mafia". Mother died on July 2, 2024. Mother died a extremely slow, painful, barbaric and inhumane death by morphine shots that kept her unconscious to die slowly of starvation and dehydration. This mafia never gave her hospice and never gave her the dignity and respect of giving Mother any of her last wishes, including being with me and to go back to her large and beautiful home of 21 years and with her much loved possessions of almost 100 years.

In Montgomery County Orphans Court, facts have proven for decades, the memory impaired have been victims of unspeakable crimes to them for their assets. In mid May 2021, Mother's daughter, Jsh (then 70) filed a petition for guardianship on Mother (96) only to get ownership of Mother's house and assets. Judge Weilheimer assigned lawyer Jaskowiak to Mother. But, Mother had assets to hire her own lawyer. Mother was never allowed by Weilheimer/Jaskowiak to be in court to speak. Jaskowiak refused to defend Mother against guardianship so Mother/assets would be guardianized for this mafia. Jaskowiak only saw Mother twice, in 3 years, after weilheimer falsely declared Mother "incapacitated" on August 6 2021. Weilheimer and Judge Sterling, appointed various guardians, their lawyers, "monitors" and a psychologist to Mother just to drain Mother's assets. All of them were picked/approved by weilheimer/jaskowiak/sterling. Weilheimer/jaskowiak assigned lawyer Fenstermacher in May 2022 as guardian of estate to Mother. But, Fenstermacher admitted in court in early 2024, he had not seen or talked to Mother for 4 years, including his almost two years as guardian of estate. He said he thought his only job was to sign invoice checks for everyone and not care about waste and fraud. None of those guardians, monitors, lawyers or the staff at Manatawny Manor ever cared about Mother's medical, mental and physical wellbeing and especially her happiness. This mafia kept Mother in a low rated nursing home, in solitary confinement with people with mental and behavior problems, in a sensory deprived environment, not allowed into society, no phone, no visitors, no usable TV and was never allowed to go back to her home of 21 years to her much loved possessions.

Mother had NO mental and NO behavior problems. Mother had NO financial problems. She had NO medical problems. She always went to her doctor's appointments. She never wrote checks to strangers or for strange amounts. She still drove her car safely at 96. Her taxes and bills were always paid on time. She cooked all of the meals. Her house was neat and clean because she and I did the house cleaning. Mother was living on social security and only a small part of my late father's vast savings he made during his life. Mother did not use a cane, no walker, no dentures, no hearing aid and no diapers. Mother was happy, healthy and enjoyed life.

In Pennsylvania, basically, anyone can be a guardian of person and/or estate. Guardian of Person has responsibility of the victim's life, health and location. Guardian of Estate has responsibility of the victim's assets to use/invest in any way they want. There is NO LIMIT how many clients (victims) either guardian can "own". Guardianship is human slavery until the victim dies. Guardian's charge what they want per hour. Guardians hire their friends and lawyers at the victim's expense, but there are NO laws and NO rules for them to do so. Those hired "kick back" money to the people who hired them. The guardians use the victim's money

to pay the guardian's lawyers to protect the guardian. Guardians can reduce/restrict/ban family member visits from their loved ones for life. Corrupt judges protect the "mafia" and always approve their invoices.

After a petition is filed, if the victim has a lot of money, a corrupt judge will assign a corrupt lawyer to the victim, who will not defend the victim so the victim will be guardianized. The corrupt judge assigns a corrupt psychologist, who uses his "evaluation" to prove "incapacitation". But, these "evaluations" have never been proven independently to be accurate. The corrupt judge will then assign corrupt guardians to the victim. They sell the victim's home very cheap to a friend who resells it at full value. The "mafia" keeps the profit. There are many federal and state laws that give many rights to the victim, but are always ignored by these "mafias".

In Pennsylvania and nationwide, there are NO requirements and NO standards for what a accurate "evaluation" must be to determine "incapacitation". This includes how long the "evaluation" must be, how many questions must be asked, accuracy of those questions, was it audio or video taped, etc. No recording means NO proof it was ever done. Because no recording is ever made, the same report by the psychologist can be used over and over for other victims by using cut and paste of one name for another. NO medical tests are ever done. If a product is sold, but fake, it is fraud and a criminal offense. Weilheimer hired Ledakis, a psychologist, to do Mother's "evaluation". Ledakis used his made up "evaluation" and said Mother was "100% incapacitated". I exposed his "evaluation" as a total fraud in court. But, Weilheimer, jaskowiak and jsh's lawyers praised Ledakis. They hired him many times for his fake reports to reduce, restrict/deny my visits.

Weilheimer and Jaskowiak put me in prison in 2021 and 2022, for exposing information about their scam on Mother. In April 2024, Jaskowiak used a cop's fake affidavit about me to put me in prison twice, because I was protesting in front of Jaskowiak's house, on a public sidewalk, exposing the mafia's scam on Mother. I have contacted the Montgomery County DA and the FBI office in Ft. Washington many times by email, phone and in person. They refused to open a case. But, in December 2023, Gloria Byers, a guardian, was found guilty of stealing \$1.5 million dollars from her 105 victims doing the same thing as this "mafia" was doing on Mother. The FBI, IRS and the DOJ in Philadelphia prosecuted Byers.

Ledakis said in his first "evaluation" Mother could live at home because Mother had no problems with daily activities and with me living there for 2 years, everything was fine. But, on August 25 2021, Logie (original guardian of person and estate hired by weilheimer/jaskowiak) and Jsh kidnapped Mother from Mother's home and forced Mother to stay at Jsh's home. For almost two weeks, Mother was drugged, starved and tormented by Jsh. Mother finally had to be taken by ambulance, unconscious, to a hospital, confined to bed for six weeks and treated for starvation. Mother had to get daily blood tests (42 times) and daily needles in the stomach (42 times). Logie/jsh later dumped Mother into a low rated nursing home at \$90,000 a year, compared to only \$16,500 per year if Mother lived at home. Mother was kept with people with mental and behavior problems. For 3 years, I fought (mostly pro se) to get Mother back home to live her normal and happy life. But, the mafia forced Mother to die by morphine, unconscious, in bed, alone in her cage. Mother died a very slow, horrible and agonizing death of starvation and dehydration over several days.

Weilheimer/Jaskowiak/Fenstermacher evicted me from Mother's home in August 2023, which was against Mother's written wishes. I have been living in my car. I have been on welfare since about May 2022. Weilheimer/Jaskowiak restricted or denied me visits for 3 years because I kept exposing their scam.

Out of a possible 24,736 hours Mother and I could have been together (16 hour days, 8 hours sleeping) from August 25 2021 (when Mother was kidnapped by jsh/Logie) to Mother's death on July 2 2024, this "mafia" only allowed us 224 hours together.