

## EMERGENCY Petition to Review Guardianship

To Remove Current Corrupt and Grossly Incompetent Guardians Ronald Fenstermacher and Pam Blumer, Remove Court Assigned Lawyer David Jaskowiak, Remove All People Hired by Blumer and to Create a Care Plan for the Benefit of Jane Herring for Her Physical, Medical and Mental Health. This Plan Will Be For Jane's Financial Security for Jane's Happiness for the Rest of Her Life. This Plan Will be Based on What Jane Wants.

### PREVIEW

1. Guardianship is supposed to be about protection, welfare and happiness of a person, not the ownership of a person and looting their assets by a judge, "guardians", their newly hired "staff" and the lawyer assigned to the victim.
2. The definition of a guard is to protect lives and property.
3. Guardianship abuse and corruption has been documented nationwide and worldwide for decades. My massive website detailing guardianship corruption nationwide is [www.protectmyparents.us](http://www.protectmyparents.us)
4. Guardianship corruption is about making money at the expense of the health, financial and mental wellbeing of the victim.
5. Victims of guardianship corruption are no longer considered human beings, but are now merely slaves and bank accounts for their new slave masters.
6. Nationwide, many courts have been directly involved with this corruption.
7. Criminal prosecution of these "slave masters" are very few because the laws pertaining to elder abuse and financial exploitation of the elderly are ignored by the prosecutors when it involved guardianships.
8. Guardianship corruption is when a corrupt judge hires a corrupt lawyer for the victim, the corrupt judge will hire a corrupt psychologist who will claim the victim is 100% "incapacitated" based on fake "evaluations" of the victim and corrupt guardians are assigned to the victim to begin looting the victim's assets and beginning their barbaric and inhumane treatment of their new victim.

9. Those corrupt people that work together in guardianship corruption are called a racket, what they do is called racketeering (a crime) and is prosecuted under RICO.
10. In Pennsylvania, there are NO standards or requirements as to what constitutes an accurate “evaluation” to determine “incapacitation”.
11. The “evaluations” are referred to as IME (Independent Medical Evaluation), but no medical doctors are ever used and no medical tests are ever performed on the subject. Such medical type tests could explain the reasons behind certain behavior of the subject.
12. Any person, who claims they have a doctorate in psychology and experience working with people with memory loss, seem to be accepted by the court as a qualified person who will determine forever a life changing future for an elderly person.
13. These evaluators do not audio and/or video record (using their cell phone) their sessions with the subject to prove what they said, what the subject said, how they said or did not say something in the “evaluation”.
14. Such lack of recorded proof, because it will be used for legal purposes, only guarantees corruption and with no way for the victim and their family to dispute the evaluator’s results.
15. The evaluators simply rely on internet type, downloaded paper and pencil tests that when examined closely, reveal they do not have any independent proven accuracy.
16. The assigned lawyer to the victim never allows family members to sit with the lawyer and subject during any meetings claiming they are private between a lawyer and client. The lawyer never makes notes for the subject and the family to be discussed later. This invites corruption. If a person has a memory issue, why does the lawyer think the subject will remember technical information and legal terms?
17. The evaluator never allows the family to be with the subject during the “evaluation” so notes can be made by the family for the benefit of the subject to be discussed later. Such “privacy” promotes corruption by denying the subject and family to dispute the findings of the evaluator.

18. There many varying degrees and types of “incapacitation”. Everyone has some degree of “incapacitation”, including physical, memory and not understanding everyday situations, like taxes, auto mechanics, etc.
19. Everyone forgets at times. When younger people lose things or forget things, people simply say it is normal. But, if people over 65 forget or lose something, people say those people have alzheimers or dementia. That is age discrimination.
20. According to the Pennsylvania definition of incapacitation, basically anyone who bounces a check, does not pay their credit cards on time and in full, misses a mortgage payment, etc, qualifies as not being able to manage and understand their finances and should have a guardian.

## JANE HERRING, LOVING AND CARING MOTHER AND PERSON

1. Jane Herring is a 98 year old widow.
2. Jane and her older brother Tommy were raised by a very loving and caring single mother, Estella. Jane, Tommy and Estella were living with Estella's parents in a very large, beautiful, 3 story home in Germantown, PA.
3. Tommy fought in the Army during WWII in the Intelligence division and also in the Korean War behind enemy lines. After he left the Army in 1960, Tommy operated a very successful intelligence business in California until his death in 1986. In his business, Tommy trained various government, law enforcement and corporations worldwide in such operations.
4. Jane was always a very loving, caring, dedicated and faithful wife to her late husband Arthur (Jr) of almost 60 years of marriage before he died in January 2013. Arthur (Jr) was a extremely successful owner of his own insurance brokerage for about 65 years. He was a highly decorated WW II veteran. Arthur had 4 houses built, from the ground up, in very nice suburbs and never had any mortgages on them. All of the homes were paid off, in full, after their completion.
5. Jane was totally involved with the caring and medical care of her husband at home during the last two years of his life.
6. Jane continues to be a extremely loving, devoted and generous Mother to her loving adult son, Arthur (III) and her daughter.
7. Jane was still living very successfully in her large, beautiful 3 bedroom, 3 bathroom house, in a private gated community for 21 years and for 8 years after her husband died before this guardianship scam seized her in May 2021, just 2 days after her 96<sup>th</sup> birthday.
8. Jane was still driving her car, without any accidents or tickets for the past 50 years until her drivers license expired on her birthday May 16 2021. Neither her son, primary doctor of 21 years or her daughter said Mother should stop driving. Jane was an extremely careful driver. She never drove in rain or snow. On her 96<sup>th</sup> birthday, she decided not to renew her driver's license and stopped driving. Her son was driving her to different places for various appointments.

9. Jane was in excellent health and happy in her day to day life and was very active.
10. After her husband died, Jane continued to be dedicated to all of her medical and dental appointments, cooked meals, ate very healthy, was still very physically active, drove to her medical and her once a week hairdressing appointments, continued to do food shopping, enjoyed talking and seeing her long time friends, watched her favorite old movies, enjoyed feeding the birds, always did all of her own house cleaning, did not wear dentures, did not use hearing aids, did not use adult diapers, did not use a cane, continued to make daily dinners for herself and her son Arthur, she was devoted to reading her daily newspapers and enjoyed being alive, extremely healthy and happy.
11. Jane always looked forward to Arthur's daily visits to her house to have dinner and spending those evenings with her son.
12. Jane always enjoyed being taken out to nice restaurants many times during a month and be treated to those dinners by her son.
13. Jane enjoyed going to the cemetery every month to put roses on her husband's, her mother and grand parents grave that her son would buy. Her son would always take her out to a nice restaurant afterwards.
14. Jane always appreciated the roses Arthur would buy her several times a month for her room and her house.
15. Jane was extremely cheap with herself, but extremely generous to her children.
16. Shortly after her husband died in early 2021, Jane forgave \$25,000 of a \$100,000 loan she and my father gave to her adult daughter to buy a house. Jane also bought her daughter a almost new car about 7 years ago.
17. Every year, since my father died, Jane would give each of her children \$14,000 as a tax free gift for them to use any way we wanted.
18. Jane almost never bought new clothes or shoes for herself. She liked the ones she had that she kept in like new condition. Jane would always make her bed by 8am like she was taught to do as a child.

19. Jane refused to treat herself to more than one hairdressing appointment a week or to have a house keeper come more than 2 hours every month.
20. During the day, Jane enjoyed looking at the various possessions she had her entire life.
21. Jane is constantly saying how happy she was in her life and how much she appreciated what she had in her life and as a part of her life.
22. Jane is extremely appreciative she is so healthy and pain free.

## JANE HERRING'S PERSONNAL and FINANCIAL BACKGROUND

1. Jane had absolutely no problems or issues in her life when she was served the petition for guardianship by her daughter on May 18 2021, 2 days after Jane's 96<sup>th</sup> birthday.
2. Jane's monthly bills were automatically paid each month, her taxes were always paid in full and on time, her house and car were always maintained and up to date by her son Arthur after Jane's husband died in 2013. Arthur only used the professionals that Arthur used himself.
3. All of Jane's medical and dental appointments were always kept on time, Jane had no medical or dental problems that she ignored. Her health was so perfect she was only on 2 very, very low dosage pills each day: 1 for chloesterol and the other for blood pressure. Jane's doctor of 21 years had taken her off of the blood pressure pill several months before the guardianship petition was served.
4. No one, who knew Jane closely, ever accused Jane of any mental or behavior type disorders, especially by her primary doctor for 21 years, Dr. Kuhar, her adult son, who saw Jane every day, 7 days a week, for dinner for about 6 hours each day since Jane's husband died in 2013 or her weekly hairdresser of 16 years.
5. Jane's massive investments continued to be managed and invested by the same 2 men at Raymond James (before that they were at Morgan Stanley) for 21 years. Jane was living extremely comfortable off of her social security and some of the interest of her investments every year.
6. Jane never bounced any checks or wrote any checks for bizaar amounts or to strange or non-existent people. Jane hardly ever used her Raymond James debit card.
7. Jane's car was totally owned by Jane when it was bought new 20 years before. She would wipe it off several times a week.
8. Jane's huge house was completely paid for after it was built 22 years before.
9. Jane always had her taxes done by her CPA of about 20 years and were always done on time and paid in full.

THE CASE FOR JANE HERRING TO BE RELEASED FROM HER CURRENT SLAVE  
OWNERS PAM BLUMER and RONALD FENSTERMCHER AND COURT  
ASSIGNED LAWYER DAVID JASKOWIAK

PAM BLUMER

1. As of June 12, 2023, the following statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
2. Pennsylvania law requires the least restrictive alternatives to guardianship.
3. Because of this guardianship, when the barbaric and inhumane “visit” schedule was started by judge weilheimer in September 2021, since then, out of 24 hours in a day, minus 8 hours for sleeping, leaving 16 hours per day, as of June 1 2023, out of a possible 10,068 hours I could have spent with my now 98 year old Mother, I have only been allowed to be with her for 215 hours by Mother’s past and current owners and jaskowiak and weilheimer. No charges have even been filed against me to demand such limitations, barbaric and inhumane treatment to my Mother and to her loving and caring son.
4. Arthur was able to get dwayne logie replaced as the original Guardian of Person and Guardian of Estate to Jane because Arthur was able to show logie was part of a guardianship scam on Jane just for Jane’s assets.
5. Logie was promoted by jaskowiak and camp (Jane daughter’s lawyer) to be guardian of person and estate at the petition hearing in August 2021. At the time of being appointed, logie claimed he had 13 “clients”.
6. Current Guardian of Person (owner) to Jane, pam blumer, has constantly refused to carry out her responsibilities and obligations under the law as a guardian appointed by the court since blumer was court appointed in May 2022.
7. When appointed, blumer said she had 10 clients.
8. Blumer replaced logie about May 2022. She was promoted by jaskowiak to me and my ex-lawyer. Jaskowiak was personal friends with blumer’s husband bill, who was also a lawyer.



9. Pam blumer immediately hired husband/lawyer to be her lawyer in her guardianship with Jane. Both started to immediately charge Jane for their services. But, there was never any agreement made with me and my ex-lawyer, written or oral, that allowed pam blumer to hire anyone she wanted and bill Jane for those people's time, charge for her travel time and all of the discussions she had with other people at their rates and then bill Jane for all of that time.
10. Pam blumer/husband also hired other lawyers in bill blumer's law firm, barley/snyder, who began charging Jane for their billing hours. No agreement, written or oral was ever made with me and my ex-lawyer that bill blumer could do so and charge Jane for those people's time.
11. Pam blumer also kept on so-called "care manager" deb klock that logie had hired as a "care manager" for Jane, even though there was never any agreement made, written or oral, with me and my ex-lawyer that gave klock permission to stay on and bill Jane.
12. Deb klock has a well known, documented history of stealing from her victims and over drugging them.
13. Under the law, if no such agreement is made that a client/customer agrees to pay for whatever the business decides to charge them for, whether products and/or services, then the client/customer does not have to pay.
14. Blumer has been using Jane only as a personal bank account for herself, her husband, her family and his law firm for their own financial gain, NOT for the welfare of Jane, blumer's client.
15. With blumer and her self hired "employees", she has been depleting Jane's bank account using those uncalled for lawyer fees when much faster and much cheaper ways to settle issues could be used. Problems were created and/or ignored by blumer knowing I would complain about them just so more fake billing hours could be created for bill blumer and his law firm barley/snyder.
16. Blumer admitted in court recently she only sees Jane about once a month at Jane's pig pen at a nursing home called Manatawny Manor in Pottstown, PA
17. Blumer has refused, since she was appointed for over a year, to visit Jane's huge house to see how Jane had been living for 21 years with her loved possessions.

18. Blumer has either not read or refused to follow the court's own hand picked "expert" ledakis, who said in his first report: Mother had no problems with daily activities (bathing, toilet, eating, dressing, etc) and could stay at home. Ledakis also said because I had been living there for over a year, everything was going fine.
19. Ledakis failed to mention in his report that I had also seen Mother every day, for about 6 hours per day and I was the expert on Mother's life and her abilities.
20. Ledakis failed to know or did not want to have a competent medical doctor, as required by law, do an evaluation of Jane, not just some psychologist who uses unproven paper and pencil type tests and refuses to record them as proof he ever gave such evaluations and prove what the person said and how they said it.
21. Ledakis also failed to explain how a person, who was "100% incapacitated" still had the total cognitive abilities to drive a car safely, without any traffic accidents or tickets, her house was kept in perfect condition (maid only came in 1x a month for only 2 hours), Mother was in perfect medical, mental, physical health and appearance, etc.
22. What would ledakis say now about where Jane is being forced to live and of her treatment by others when he had stated in his report Jane could live at home based on his "expertise", but after being used for 2 "evaluations" of Mother, the racket still kept Jane locked in solitary confinement, in a sensory deprived environment, not allowed outside for almost 2 years and no access to people, physical or mental activity?
23. Blumer has refused or just not cared, for over a year since she was appointed as guardian of person to Jane, about how Jane has been living in solitary confinement, in a sensory deprived environment, no access to reading material, has not been allowed to see her regular doctors, not allowed to have her weekly hairdressing appointments, not allowed outside into society for mental stimulus, not allowed back home even for the day, not allowed to call her friends or her friends call her, not allowed to see ministers, denied Visits on Christmas, Easter and Good Friday (3 of the most Christian holidays of the year), Jane was also denied visits by her son on Thanksgiving, Valentine's Day, Mother's Day and Mother's Birthday only to punish Arthur. Blumer, for over a year, denied Mother unlimited time and visits with her son at Mother's extreme age when she could die or become totally mentally disabled to the point of not knowing who Arthur is at Janes current age of 98 years old.

24. Blumer has accused Arthur for over a year (just like Logie started after about 6 months as a guardian) claiming (never named) "staff" said after Arthur's phone calls and visits with Jane, Jane was always "agitated" without any proof to back up those accusations. At no time were there any reports made (according to Director Kessler) where Arthur was named pertaining to getting Jane "agitated", at no time did Jane ever refuse Arthur's formally 2x a day phone calls, Jane never refused Arthur's 3x a week visits or asked him to leave before those visits were over. But, nobody ever asked Jane if Arthur got her "agitated".
25. There were many problems caused by the staff, such as listening on Jane's phone calls and listening at the door when Arthur was there to visit that made Jane mad.
26. Because Jane wanted to go back home all the time and could only see her son for 3 hours per week as per Judge Weilheimers forced visiting schedule, Jane was always sad to see Arthur leave after a visit.
27. Jane always enjoyed her phone calls with Arthur, not just to talk to him, but also to tell him problems she was having at her place so Arthur could get them solved.
28. About November 2022, Blumer claimed because of the "staff" accusations against Arthur getting Jane "agitated", she was going to hire a "monitor" to sit next to Mother and I. Blumer told this "monitor" Brandi, to listen to us, make notes and turn in those notes. She was told if Arthur got Mother "agitated", Brandi could tell Arthur to leave. Arthur was forced to pay \$75 per visit or else he could not see Jane.
29. Because Arthur had spent a tremendous amount of money on lawyer fees for 2 years to get his Mother back home to where she should be (according to the first Ledakis report) because of this guardianship scam on his Mother, Arthur was getting a little welfare as income. Arthur could not afford to spend so much money, plus the cost of gas to see his Mother, buy her flowers, newspapers, gifts, greeting cards and lunches when Jane and Arthur used to have them in Jane's room, to see his Mother 3x a week and after that when Arthur and Jane could only meet in the TV room, being watched by the staff, as instructed to do so by Blumer.
30. The fact is, at no time did Brandi ever tell Arthur he had to leave during her 40 or so paid visits. In fact, during a Zoom hearing in about February 2023, Brandi admitted that all of the visits Arthur had with Jane were loving and happy.

31. It turns out, Brandi was out of work and her mother was a friend of Blumer. Arthur was forced to be the “welfare department” for a friend of Blumer, while Arthur was trying to live on welfare and his small amount of social security every month and he was also spending money for nice things his Mother could have in her room and for her pleasure.
32. The fact was, Weilheimer refused Arthur and his then lawyer, Meitner, any information about Brandi, including her last name, her background and her training to listen, take accurate notes, make accurate quotes, while continuing to listen, make notes, etc, all for legal purposes.
33. Brandi’s notes were written so poorly, they looked like a 5<sup>th</sup> grader wrote them. Neither Blumer or Gelsinger ever told Brandi to type them to make them look professional.
34. The problem was that Brandi was just paid to write lies in her reports about me, as she was told to do by Blumer, Jaskowiak and Gelsinger. The plan was to build up enough bad reports of lies about me to reduce, then deny all visits with Jane for life, which is what Jaskowiak (Weilheimer’s assigned lawyer to Jane) had tried to do from the beginning of the “incapacitation because Arthur had exposed the scam of the fake “evaluations” by Ledakis to determine “incapacitation”.
35. The fact is, because of Brandi’s lies in her reports against Arthur, Weilheimer reduced Arthur’s paid visits in about February 2023 to only 2 visits a week. But, Weilheimer praised Arthur’s sister that day and eliminated any restriction on visits and time on those visits with Jane.
36. Did Weilheimer know how the sister kidnapped Jane, tormented and tortured Jane for 2 weeks, at about 2 weeks Jane had to be taken to the hospital, by ambulance, unconscious, confined to bed for 6 weeks and treated for starvation? Does Weilheimer know Jane had to get daily blood tests (42 times), daily needles in the stomach (42 times) to prevent blood clots and at about week 6 Jane got COVID where she could have died? If so, why did Weilheimer praise the sister and reward her, but punishing Arthur? If Weilheimer did not know about what the sister did to her Mother, why not?
37. Does Blumer, Gelsinger, Jaskowiak and Weilheimer know the basis of U.S. law: A person is innocent until proven guilty in court of law and the person has the right to face their accusers? If so, why has there not been any trial with one or more of those “staff”

people accusing me of “agitating” Mother? Again, why hasn’t anyone ever asked Mother if her son got her agitated?

38. Why have gelsinger (blumer’s lawyer) and jaskowiak refused Arthur his right to protect himself from lies by simply having a cell phone recording the visit of Jane and Arthur? Cell phones are free and cannot lie, unlike paid “whores” who work in the racket.
39. Because of Arthur’s lack of money to pay, as has been demanded by blumer for her hand picked liar (monitor), he has not seen his Mother for about 2.5 months. Blumer has not allowed Arthur to call his mother to let her know he still loves her and he is alright. How can any “guardian” allow their 98 year old client to go through such mental torture of not seeing or hearing from her son, based only on made lies against Arthur that blumer refuses to have them testify at a hearing so Arthur can defend himself?
40. Does blumer believe in guilt by accusation or does blumer really know that there were never any of those fake “agitation” complaints? If blumer knows those accusation were only made up, then she is committing barbaric and inhumane pain and suffering on a 98 year old woman by denying that Mother to see and be with her son for as much time as they want to be together. Blumer is committing elder abuse to Jane.
41. Does blumer know that mental health greatly affects physical and medical health, especially to a 98 year person?
42. Blumer has continued to blame Arthur for Jane’s legs being extremely swollen since blumer started as Jane’s guardian of person in about May 2022. Mother’s legs began to be swollen in about November 2021. Within 2 days of her legs being swollen, Arthur called the paramedics because he thought his Mother may have blood clots in her legs. Previous slave owner logie began the lie about Arthur causing Jane’s legs to be swollen for almost a year before he quit as Jane’s guardian in May 2022. Both logie and blumer blamed Arthur for bringing in the wrong food for Jane when Jane and Arthur used to have lunch together in Jane’s room 3 times a week. Jane only ate those 3 lunches of fresh food Arthur brought in for her, food that Jane ate all of the time at her beautiful home of 21 years. But, both blumer and logie totally ignored the 20 meals a week Jane was being fed at the pig pen. Jane was never on any diet restrictions. Recently, for the past 4 months, Arthur stopped bringing any food for Jane, but Jane’s legs were getting even more swollen.

43. Many times Arthur filed police incident reports on the many problems that affected Jane but were not being taken care of by the nursing home. Those problems included, urine stained sheets, urine stained towels, torn and tattered bed spreads, dead mice on the floor, trash in Jane's room not being taken away so she had to put it in the shower, staff stealing things from Jane's room, including food on a constant basis, etc.
44. Arthur continued to inform gelsinger (one of blumer's hired lawyers) that the staff at the pig pen are not putting on the TED socks that the house doctor said must be on Jane's legs every day to help deal with the swelling. It appears blumer/gelsinger have not done anything to correct that lack of care that could cause Jane's legs to become so bad that they might have to be amputated.
45. Because of the absence of exercise in Jane's small locked in section, she gets no exercise and for past several months she is walking much, much slower, using baby steps and with a cane. Jane never had any problems walking normally before being owned by logie and blumer.
46. Blumer has refused to allow Jane much needed exercise that every doctor recommends and as Jane has always enjoyed back home by keeping Jane in a locked down section, in solitary confinement, in a sensory deprived environment for the 2 years Jane has been forced to live in her pig pen.
47. Blumer has not cared or tried to get Mother back home to the place she was used to living for 21 years with her much loved possessions to make her happy as ledakis said she should be in his first report.
48. Blumer has not cared about finding Jane a much nicer, much bigger and much cheaper place to live, if for some unknown, bizaar reason, blumer did not want Mother back home where she has lived happily and healthy for 21 years.
49. Is there a ulterior reason or motive blumer wants to keep Jane kept locked up at Manatawny Manor? All evidence, from many people, is that guardians get paid a "kickback" by putting people at Manatawny Manor.
50. If blumer cares so much about Mother, why does blumer allow Mother to waste \$90,000 a year to live in that pig pen instead of finding Mother a much nicer, much bigger and much cheaper places to live for 50% less than Jane's pig pen? Doesn't blumer care, as guardian of person, how much money Jane is losing being at the pig pen?

51. Why has blumer allowed Mother to basically sleep all day and night in isolation? How is that inhumane and barbaric mis-treatment helping Mother maintain her physical and mental abilities?
52. Is blumer aware of the Pennsylvania law dictating what a guardian is supposed to do and be as a guardian and how the guardianship is supposed to be run by including the victim in their day to day living and including the family to avoid isolation which causes great mental harm to the victim?
53. Because of blumer's gross neglect of her obligations and requirements as a guardian, Jane's physical and mental abilities have diminished and will continue to do so under blumer's malpractice.
54. Blumer continues to force Jane to live in a extremely unsafe, hazardous, locked down section where the staff has very little concern for the safety and welfare of those elderly people.
55. Blumer has refused to let Jane have a phone in her room for both safety and enjoyment and has refused to let Jane have a medical alert necklace like Mother had at her home for many years.
56. Since Jane has lived at the pig pen, Jane has fallen at least 3 times because of the slippery waxed floors. If Jane falls and becomes unconscious or unable to move, she could lay there for hours or die because there was no phone in her room or Mother did not have a medical alert necklace on.
57. Arthur had bought Jane many pairs of no slip socks for her after Arthur was informed Jane had fallen the first time. The staff has neglected to be sure those socks are on Jane.
58. After the first fall, the pig pen x-rayed Janes pelvic area, but never took her to the hospital for a CAT scan of her head for any damage as required.
59. There are men in Jane's section since she has been there, but no locks on the doors. At night, Jane puts a waste basket next to the door so if anyone tries to enter her room, she hopes she will hear the basket move. Because people in Janes section have various mental and behavior issues, including men, Jane is at risk of sexual assault or other types of abuse, including death, if Jane does not hear the basket move.

60. There are no cameras at all in the hallway for the staff to see what is going on from their desk views.
61. Blumer's deliberate restrictions of Arthur's and Jane's visits are just retaliation for Arthur exposing the scam of guardianship on Mother by blumer and the rest of the racket. Arthur's exposure of that scam endangers blumer's and the others in the racket to what they think is their "entitlement" to Jane's money.
62. Blumer and other's unearned and unjustified theft of Jane's money is rapidly depleting Jane's estate and future inheritance of her loved son, Arthur. That is called financial exploitation of the elderly, a crime.
63. Blumer refuses to keep Arthur informed of Jane's medical conditions and treatments.
64. Because of blumer's disinterest in her client, Jane, (probably also all of the other 10 clients of blumer's), blumer has refused to take Jane to any of her regular doctors of many, many years, including Jane's dermatologist who has been treating Jane for skin cancer for many years.
65. Despite Arthur's many demands to blumer's lawyers, they have refused to have blumer change her barbaric and inhumane treatment of Jane.
66. Guardianship, under blumer's rule, has greatly harmed Jane and is elder abuse. Keeping information from her about her son because he cannot afford to keep paying blumer's hand picked liar, who was hired only to write lies about Arthur to reduce his visits to none (as has been advocated by jaskowiak for 2 years without any justification) and keeping him away from Jane in her much older years is also elder abuse, a crime.
67. Is Jane grieving in her room every day because she does not know if her loving son is alive or dead? Does Jane think maybe Arthur does not love her anymore and that is why he does not want to see her?
68. POW's, under the Geneva Convention, have more priveleges than Jane with blumer as her so-called guardian.
69. People in prison, for all types of crimes, have more priveleges than Jane with blumer as her so-called guardian.



70. How would you feel at 98 if you thought your family did not love you because you thought they did not want to see you anymore? That could be what Jane thinks because of blumer not allowing Arthur to see her or call her, but Mother does not know that. I was told I could not tell Mother I had to pay a liar just so I could see her.
71. Recently, Arthur discovered that several ministers that were going to see Jane were told by the staff that blumer said they could not see Jane, which is a clear violation of Jane's constitutional rights.
72. Recently, Arthur discovered that the letters and cards sent to Jane by her friends were thrown out by the staff based on blumer's orders. Also, if those friends called Jane, those calls were not given to Jane by the staff based on blumer's orders
73. Recently, Arthur discovered that the flowers, cards, newspapers and other items he dropped off for Jane were also thrown out by the staff by blumer's orders.
74. Guardians are supposed to be helpers to the elderly and their families, NOT slave owners who only want the victims money and house because in some sick way, the guardians and their lawyers think those assets are theirs for the taking.

5. Guardian of Estate to Jane, Ronald Fenstermacher, has constantly refused to carry out his responsibilities and obligations under the law as a guardian appointed by the court and as a lawyer to Jane of about 21 years.
6. Fenstermacher was appointed Guardian of the Estate to Jane in about May 2022, replacing Logie.
7. Fenstermacher has refused to stop the financial squandering of Jane's estate and Arthur's future inheritance by allowing Jane to be kept in a tiny pig pen, in solitary confinement, in a sensory deprived environment at \$90,000 a year.
8. The cost of Jane living at home was established by the court of \$16,500 a year.
9. Arthur has found many much nicer, much bigger and much cheaper places for Jane to live, but both guardians refused to let Jane stay at home (as the Ledakis report #1 said she could) or in those much better places. No reason given by them.
10. Fenstermacher has refused to tell Blumer that there was no agreement signed that Blumer could charge Jane, Fenstermacher's client and guardian, people Blumer hired as her staff, including many lawyers and care manager Klock that Blumer has kept on for Jane, at Jane's expense, but who was originally hired by Logie.
11. Fenstermacher has refused to follow Jane's wishes of what she would want (if she was asked by Fenstermacher) for her son Arthur, which includes Arthur staying in her house for free and getting the house after Jane dies.
12. Fenstermacher has refused to be concerned, as a part of Jane's guardianship, to be aware of the physical, medical, mental and financial harm Blumer has been doing to Jane for a year since she was appointed Guardian of Person of mother.
13. Fenstermacher signed off to sell Jane's house about 6 months ago and to evict Arthur, all against what Jane would want for herself and Arthur if Jane was asked by Fenstermacher.
14. If Fenstermacher and the others keep Jane in her current very expensive, tiny pig pen and sell Jane's house, then all of her loved possessions, of the past 98 years, would have to be trashed since there is NO room in her tiny pig pen to put even a vase of flowers, let alone anything of Jane's possessions.

15. How is Fenstermacher following the requirements and obligations as a guardian for Jane, his client.
16. There are no tables or closet space in Jane's pig pen. Jane basically has to put most of her things on the floor in plastic bags.
17. Fenstermacher admitted in court he has not seen or talked to his client Jane, her Guardian of Estate, for about 2.5 years, but he is making drastic decisions for her without discussing them with her and violating state law of PA.
18. Fenstermacher's refusal to discuss and act on his client's wants and wishes, clearly violate his obligations and requirements as her Guardian of Estate and her trust lawyer.
19. If Fenstermacher fails to consult with his client, he will be also violating his oath when he became a lawyer, mainly to do what Jane wants as his client, as much as possible and if he does not want to do so, he can quit as her lawyer.
20. If Fenstermacher sells Jane's house, unless he or blumer find Jane another place to live where she can keep some of her loved possessions, all of them, including valuable antiques, will have to be trashed since there is absolutely no room in her current pig pen to keep anything and there are no tables to put things on.
21. According to the best available information, Jane's house is worth about \$450,000.
22. According to a financial statement from Jane's investment company, Raymond James, from about 4 years ago, Jane had about \$1.8 million.
23. Why is Fenstermacher allowing Jane to pay for blumer and her hired help that, were never approved to be hired, but Jane has to pay them? Fenstermacher allowing blumer to do that is constantly depleting Jane's assets, instead of saving Jane's money by having her taken back home as ledakis said she could stay in his report #1.
24. Fenstermacher, as guardian of the estate, refuses to get involved with managing Jane's money now and Arthur's future money as his inheritance.
25. Fenstermacher is refusing to manage blumer's lawyers massive spending of Jane's funds when it is obvious those lawyers are simply making up wasteful billing hours for themselves and their law firm.

- 26 The court has accepted the cost of Jane's home per year is about \$16,500, including property tax, homeowners fees, insurance, etc. Why is Fenstermacher refusing to save Jane the massive amount of money by taking her out of the pig pen and letting her live at home?
- 27 Why has fenstermacher, who is in charge of Jane's money and future inheritance of Arthur, refusing to order blumer to stop wasting Jane's money and if Jane cannot go home, demand blumer find Jane a much nicer, much bigger and much cheaper place to live since blumer is the guardian of person of Jane and is responsible to where Jane lives and how she lives?
- 28 I have found many much bigger, much nicer and much cheaper places for Jane to live (if not at home), but Fenstermacher has refused to act, especially for Jane's happiness and in case she may need the money for any future medical issues, such as a stroke.
- 29 Living at home, if Jane ever needs extra help is far, far cheaper than Jane being forced to be kenneled in her current pig pen by blumer, jaskowiak and fenstermacher.
- 30 While fenstermacher, jaskowiak, blumer and other lawyers of their picking continue to live in luxury from Jane's money, Jane is forced to live worse than a homeless person. A homeless person has the ability to enjoy their life and their freedom. My Mother, under the ownership of blumer, fenstermacher and jaskowiak for the past 2 years, does not have any freedom or enjoyment of life at age 98.
- 31 Starting in about June 2023, Arthur has called and emailed Fenstermacher many times to get this years gift money from Jane of \$16,000. Arthur has asked Fenstermacher to increase that amount to \$24,000 to live since the Racket has told Arthur he will be evicted. Because of the closeness that Jane and Arthur has and Jane has given many huge financial gifts to jsh, Jane would want Arthur to have that extra money. But, Fenstermacher has refused to reply to emails or phone calls.
32. Arthur has been looking very hard to find a place to live. When Arthur has given the landlords the name of Fenstermacher to verify rent would always be paid, Fenstermacher has never returned their calls and Arthur never gets the apartment.
33. Jane has made it very clear she wants Arthur to live in her house for as long as possible. But Fenstermacher signed off to have Arthur evicted and the house sold without asking Jane at any time, contrary to PA Title 20, S 5521.

34. Fenstermacher has allowed the massive bleeding to Jane's assets by allowing a massive loss of \$90,000 per year for a tiny room in a low rated nursing home and kept in solitary confinement, in a sensory deprived environment.
35. Fenstermacher has allowed the massive bleeding of hundreds of thousands of dollars paid to lawyers, care managers and guardians without demanding proof that those hours were ever worked and demand to see a contract that a guardian can hire and charge whatever they want, including for travel fees and giving themselves a pay raise.

David Jaskowiak, Lawyer Appointed by Judge Weilheimer to Jane Herring

1. As of April 20 2024, these statements below are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
2. On or about May 25,2021, judge weilheimer assigned David Jaskowiak (DJ) as Jane Herring's lawyer in the case of a petition for legal guardianship filed by JH's daughter (jsh).
3. DJ failed to inform Jane or her family that Jane could hire another lawyer if she ever so desired. Jane has more than enough assets that she could hire any lawyer, from any law firm, to defend and protect her and her assets from this coming scam on Jane started by her daughter.
4. DJ refused to ever discuss the petition matter in any way with her loved and trusted son, Arthur Herring III. Jane and Arthur have had a lifetime of being extremely close and loving relationship.
5. Jane had made it clear to DJ and others in this petition that she only loved and trusted Arthur to give her sound advice and only trusted Arthur with all matters of health, household and financial matters.
6. Despite insistence by Jane that Arthur attend all meetings with her and DJ, DJ refused to allow Arthur to attend them.
7. DJ refused to make notes for Jane, so she and Arthur could read them later to ask questions of DJ and to be kept informed of events.
8. DJ knew Jane had a small memory/instant recall problem. Without such notes, DJ was deny Jane and Arthur the right to be kept informed and educated in the matter and to be able to defend themselves of any accusations.
9. DJ knew Jane wanted DJ to defend Jane against all false allegations against Jane in the petition for guardianship by JSH (daughter of Jane) in court and to later promote Arthur to be her guardian, person and estate, if that time ever happened.

10. In the beginning of the petition hearing, DJ did not want Jane in court to speak for herself. DJ convinced Arthur not to have Jane there because DJ was going to defend and protect Jane from guardianship. Arthur reluctantly agreed not to have JH there in court.
11. DJ refused to bring any of the people Jane dealt with (doctors, investment people, bills, tax people, etc) in her daily life who would have stated Jane never did anything strange or neglected anything so she did not need a guardian.
12. DJ refused to bring in the many notes of affection Jane had written for Arthur to prove that Jane wanted Arthur as her guardian, nobody else.
13. DJ brought in Jane's check book at the petition hearing to claim Jane was abusing her checking account, but Arthur proved they were not.
14. DJ refused to defend and protect Jane as her lawyer and every lawyer must do for their client when they take the oath to be a lawyer.
15. DJ refused to bring in the many notes of caring Jane wrote for Arthur of the past several years.
16. If a lawyer does not want to do what his client wants, then he is obligated to quit.
17. DJ would not quit because he knew how much money he and the racket would make from Jane being guardianized.
18. The fact was, DJ wanted Jane to be guardianized so he and the others involved in the scam would be able to take control of Jane's assets and spend JH's assets.
19. During court proceedings, DJ was made aware of the fake IME (Independent Medical Evaluation) on Jane by court hired psychologist ledakis which was exposed by Arthur.
20. Ledakis admitted, only by questions from Arthur, Ledakis's evaluation had no merit as per accuracy to determine if a person was incapacitated to any degree.
21. Ledakis admitted he never audio or video recorded the two "evaluations" he claims he made with Jane. To this day, ledakis cannot prove he ever gave Jane any "evaluation".
22. To this day, ledakis cannot prove his two "reports" he claims he wrote about Jane actually pertain to Jane and not of all of his other victims.

23. According to the American Psychological Association and the Pennsylvania Psychological Association, ledakis has not been a member of either of them for about 10 years.
24. DJ, camp and weilheimer ignored those facts about ledakis's "evaluation" and proceeded to praise ledakis for his expertise.
25. Such actions by DJ totally put Jane into harm's way and what later would be a extremely destructive future to her body, her mind, her finances and to her happiness.
26. At the petition hearing, Jane's daughter made many wild and crazy lies about Arthur to discredit Jane's loved son so would not be chosen to be legal guardian for Jane. Jane's daughter claimed 3 different people said disgusting things about Arthur. Arthur did contacted those 3 people and all 3 denied ever saying them. As a result of those comments, Arthur was not chosen to be Jane's guardian. Those lies by JSH would be considered perjury. Arthur later told weilheimer he had proof the daughter had lied about those people, but weilheimer refused to listen.
27. Jane was determined to be "100% incapacitated" by the court, based solely on Ledakis's made up evaluation which Ledakis himself admitted during trial that there were no standards or requirements for "evaluations" in the state of Pennsylvania to determine a person's incapacitation.
28. Arthur destroyed the credibility of the ledakis so-called "evaluation" in court with his line of questions to ledakis.
29. DJ knew about the results of the made up ledakis report before trial, but never brought in a expert to counter the ledakis report, thus sending Jane to a future of financial and psychological doom at the hands of a proven dishonest and incompetent legal guardian that DJ would promote to be Jane's guardian for DJ's own selfish benefit.
30. DJ has used the same guardian, dwayne logie, many times.
31. At the end of the trial, when the court asked Arthur to prove why he should be Jane's legal guardian, DJ then proceeded to attack Arthur without mercy, contrary of the wishes of his client Jane, simply because DJ had ulterior motives for his own financial benefits.



32. DJ claimed Arthur wanted to be Jane's guardian only to take advantage of Jane's vast wealth, a proven lie. DJ claimed Arthur had "undue influence" over Jane, but no examples of such were ever provided by DJ or anyone else during the trial.
33. According to the professional Rules of Conduct for Lawyers in Pennsylvania, if a lawyer does not want to defend and promote the wishes of his client, then he is obligated to quit as the person's lawyer.
- 24.. DJ refused to honor Jane's wishes of promoting Jane's son to be her guardian and instead promoted his own legal guardian who he knew would fulfill DJ's financial desires.
25. DJ has been exposed many times, in the news media, with very shady dealings against his clients that caused them both personal and financial harm.
26. DJ refused to attack JSH during her bazaar claims against Arthur and to his client, Jane, during the trial. DJ's refusal to do so was DJ's plan to kick Arthur out of the picture so his personal choice, Logie, would get the job.
27. It was later proven that Logie had already been picked before the end of the trial By the racket to be Jane's guardian, not Arthur.
28. Ledakis stated Jane could go back to Jane's huge 3 bedroom, 3 bathroom, \$400,000 home, where she has lived successfully for 21 years, as long as she had some assistance. Ledakis said since I lived there, that was enough.
29. DJ has refused to bring Jane home. Instead DJ has allowed her to suffer in a tiny 1 bedroom pig pen, dead rodents, locked down in a special section where she cannot walk around freely, she has not been allowed outside for almost 2 years, kept in solitary confinement, in a sensory deprived environment, locked in, confined to a nursing home with extremely low ratings, covid invested, old and broken furniture, no tables to put things on, tiny trash basket where trash and garbage are on the floor, personal belongings also have to be put on the floor, Jane used to be allowed only 3 hours with Arthur, as of about February 2023, weillheimer reduced that number of paid visits to only 2 hours a week, NO PHONE in her pig pen and Jane must stand at the nurses station to use their phone, but cannot talk freely with Arthur because staff people are always listening. That is a clear violation of Jane's constitutional rights of freedom of speech, freedom of expression and 8th amendment against cruel and unusual punishment depriving a mother of her maternal love to her son.

30. DJ never verified JSH's claims against Arthur by Jane's maid Kerry, Ronald Herring and Gary Dix, president of the insurance company Jane's husband was vice president. JSH claimed those 3 people said disgusting things about AH.

31. Since August 25 2021 when Jane was kidnapped by her daughter, out of a possible 16 hours in a day to see Jane (8 hours for sleeping), out of a possible 1,068 hours Arthur and Jane could have been together, because of Wilhelm, DJ and the other guardians, Arthur has only been able to see Jane 215 hours.

31. DJ never challenged JSH not doing JH's taxes on time by a wide margin and for 2 years in a row.

32. Never attacked Ledakis's so-called "evaluation" of Jane after Arthur proved it had no credibility.

32. DJ never promoted all of the good things Arthur did in his life, such as exposing a massive scam on law enforcement of 30 years, exposing corruption at the animal shelters, exposing fake psychological "evaluations" that are used in legal guardianships and for all the great things he did for Jane.

33. DJ only attacked Arthur to discredit him so DJ's own "friend" Logie would be chosen.

34. DJ NEVER brought in any professional witnesses of Jane's ongoing life (doctors, estate lawyer, Jane's investment business), to prove Jane had not, in any way, done anything to warrant a legal guardian.

35. DJ never visited Jane in the hospital in the 6 weeks she was there for starvation because her daughter had not been feeding her. If DJ had done so and asked questions, including of me, DJ would have found Jane was being kept in a deplorable state at the hospital (where she later got covid), and Jane would have told DJ the food was always cold and not edible and Jane not allowed out of her bed to walk or be walked.

35. DJ admitted in a conference call in late 2021 that he has not seen Jane at her pig pen. If he had, he would have seen the deplorable conditions Jane is living in, including old and broken furniture, no tables, being locked down for no reason, no phone in her room after 2 months and other conditions a woman worth about \$2 million should not have to live in when Jane has a large 3 bedroom, 3 bath house, in a private gated community to go home to.

36. Because of DJ's gross neglect of Jane and not getting JH back home as Ledakis said she should be, DJ is contributing to the decline of Janes abilities to live as well in life as she was able to before the fake and unjustified legal guardianship started only to steal Jane's assets.
37. DJ allowed the loss of \$4,000 to Jane that was paid for Ledakis's fake first "evaluation".
38. DJ allowed ledakis to be used again for a second "evaluation" of Jane and allowed Jane to lose more money on another fake "evaluation" by ledakis.
39. DJ, camp and weilheimer thought ledakis was a expert, but refused to follow his advice as per letting Jane live at home, as ledakis said in his first report on Jane.
40. Because DJ has not allowed a phone in Jane's room to call Arthur, her friends or call for help, he has violated her 1st, and 8th amendments of the constitution: freedom of speech and cruel and unusual punishment.
41. Because DJ has failed to get a phone into JH's room, if she falls, stroke, heart attack, etc any time, she cannot call for help. On August 25 2021, Logie had canceled Jane's medical alert system so Jane cannot simply push a button to call for help, thus putting Jane's life in danger.
42. DJ has never taken an active part to be a lawyer for Jane to protect her from harm.
43. By DJ failing to get Jane back into her home, as Ledakis said, DJ has put Jane into a jail cell like setting at the nursing home. Jane has not been outside for almost 2 years and is isolated from her normal daily activities to keep her mind active, thus promoting decline in a mental capacity.
44. DJ never tried and wanted to get parties (Jane, Arthur, JSH) to work things out as it is required in PA lawbefore such drastic action as guardianship is taken.
45. By keeping Jane at the nursing home, DJ is keeping Jane in isolation from the rest of the world even though Ledakis said Jane could live at home and with Arthur there for over a year everything was going fine.
46. DJ is being paid by Jane but not doing anything of value to help Jane or get her back home. DJ is violating the Rules of Conduct for a lawyer and being a traitor to a 98 year old Woman just to get Jane's money.

47. Because of the delapidated condition of Jane's room and her worn out furniture, Jane is not able to easily get out of a very old chair because of the worn out cushion and is not able to grab hold of anything. Because of her very weak ankles, without something to hold on to, Jane could fall and seriously injure herself. DJ has failed to see those conditions because DJ has only visited Jane once in early 2022.
48. At that time, DJ said on Zoom Jane liked her room, liked her food and liked the people. So, DJ was saying Jane knew what she liked and had a working brain? If DJ thought that, that why did DJ not do what his client wanted and promote Jane and her letter when Jane wrote her letter to judge weilheimer about that same time? Why did DJ refuse to bring Jane into court so she could speak for herself?
- .
49. DJ has failed to take legal action against Ledakis who admitted his "evaluation" was totally made up and without any independent study to prove if it had any accuracy. DJ is guilty of malpractice because his extreme disregard for his client's wellbeing. DJ is protecting those who are part of the racket of guardianship corruption that DJ is deeply involved.
50. DJ has not tried to get his 98 year old client more visiting hours with her son, a person DJ is well aware that Jane wants to see and be with.
51. DJ has refused to take any action against JSH on behalf of Jane for the elder abuse JSH inflicted on Jane during the almost 2 weeks Jane was held prisoner with JSH. Jane was kidnapped by JSH and logie on August 25 2021, Jane was held as a prisoner at JSH's house, she was not allowed to leave, not allowed to call Arthur, could not see Arthur and after about 2 weeks as a prisoner, Jane had to be taken to the hospital, by ambulance, unconscious, because of starvation confined to bed for 6 weeks, got daily blood tests (42 times), got daily needles in the stomach to prevent blood clots (42 times), at week 6 Jane got covid where she could have died, then Jane was dumped at her current pig pen which had just opened up after months of covid.
52. Why didn't DJ have JSH prosecuted for elder abuse? Because JSH is a part of the racket.
53. DJ never cited during the petition hearing the lack of any examples that would indicate Jane needed a guardian and never tried to get the case dismissed because of the lack of any such examples.
54. DJ always did what he wanted that would be in his best financial interest to Janes assets,

including possible murder. In December 2021, DJ created a false Contempt of Court charge against Arthur to put him in prison where he could have been murdered. Arthur had made a massive website exposing this guardianship scam on his Mother. Arthur used the names of the judge, lawyers and guardians. DJ never asked his client, Jane if she wanted that charge filed. DJ and judge weilheimer worked together to sentence Arthur to 6 months in prison. During that time, the racket would be able to loot Janes's house, sell it cheap to a friend who then would resell it at a higher price and the racket would keep the profit from Jane and Arthur's later inheritance. That plan has been used nationwide in all of the other corrupt guardianships. But, Arthur was able to get out of prison in about a week and spoiled the racket's plan.

55. In December 2022, DJ created another false contempt of court charge because I was still exposing the scam. Weilheimer put me into prison again for a week and ordered me to pay DJ about \$5,000 for his time to make the contempt order.
56. In about December 2021, I had sent several emails to DJ stating since ledakis said Jane could stay at home, when did he plan to move her back home from the pig pen she had been kept in since October 18 2021? DJ claimed he would tell me at my Contempt of Court hearing in a week. He never did. Why did DJ not want to tell me, even to this day?
57. DJ is well known for making unjustified Contempt of Court charges just to get his way with the other lawyer. DJ uses the court as a weapon, which is not allowed. DJ also charges the other side for his time to create that unjustified Contempt order. DJ often brags he loves to use them.
58. Who ordered the kidnapping (taken away, by force, against their will, for monetary gain) of Jane on August 25 2021? There were never any court orders by weilheimer for that to be done to Jane. Was it JSH and logie doing it on their own? If so, why didn't DJ tell them to take Jane back home as ledakis said Jane could stay with Arthur? If it was DJ who ordered it, why didn't judge weilheimer order Jane back home? Did weilheimer order the kidnapping?
59. The answer is, it was all part of the racket's plan to get all of Jane's assets. That plan is used nationwide in other guardianship scams. In this case, Jane's daughter kidnapped Jane, stash Jane at JSH house until a nursing home was found, have arthur evicted from Jane's house from where he had been living for over a year at Jane's request (logie sent me a eviction that night), the racket then loots the house for what they want, later claim everything was donated to charity, sell the house extremely cheap to a friend, then resell the house at full value and keep the profit for themselves, not the victim and

their family. In this case, JSH did not count on Jane collapsing from starvation and had to be taken to the hospital, by ambulance, unconscious, confined to bed for 6 weeks, treated for starvation because of Jane's daughter, Jane had 42 blood tests, 42 needles in her stomach to prevent blood clots and at week 5 got covid where she could have died. At week 6, logie dumped Jane at Jane's current pig pen to stay there until she dies, based on what DJ, JSH and her guardians want. For 2 years, Arthur has spent well over \$100,000 in legal fees and every day for 2 years trying to get my Mother back home.

60. A racket is a group of people working together to commit a crime. What they are doing is called racketeering and racketeering is prosecuted under RICO.
61. As of April 1 2024, out of a possible 16 hours a day JH and AH could be together, since August 25 2021 when JH was kidnapped, out of a possible 1,5020 hours they could have spent together, they have only had 224 hours because of DJ refusing to act for his client and allowing others to deny JH the pleasure of having her son with her in her forced confinement.
62. The Geneva Convention gives POW's more priveleges than DJ has allowed for his client. People in prison for all types of crimes have more priveleges than DJ allows for his client.
63. About January 2023, DJ demanded I take down my Go fund Me account that I started to raise money to pay off my lawyer bills. He claimed if I did not, he would file another Contempt of Court charge against me and would demand weilheimer put me into prison, again. Again, DJ is using the court as a weapon.
64. While fenstermacher, jaskowiak, blumer and other lawyers retained by blumer (at Jane's expense) continue to live in luxury from Jane's money, Jane is forced to live worse than a homeless person: She has NO ability now to be free and enjoy her life to do what she wants, when she wants and how she wants as she did before this scam of guardianship grabbed her.