

WHAT ABOUT JANE'S PRIVACY

1. Jaskowiak (Jas) keeps bringing up and objecting to things he claims would violate Mother's right of privacy. Jaskowiak's violations of Mother's rights of privacy include the following. Jas and the others in this guardianship mafia (mafia) work together to commit guardianship fraud on many other people only for monetary gain, NOT for the benefit of their victims.
2. Jas has no problem violating her rights of privacy by ignoring various Rules of Conduct for a lawyer to Mother.
3. Jas has no problem violating Mother's rights of privacy by forcing her to live like a mouse in a cage and by denying her the right to live at home. Mother was never accused of having any mental or behavior problem where she would be a threat to herself or others and had to be kept in a cage locked in as she is now.
4. Jas has no problem of violating her rights of privacy by forcing her to live in solitary confinement, in a sensory deprived environment, not allowed outside or into society for 2.5 years, no phone, no printed material to read, no visitors allowed, no useful TV stations, not allowed to have food she likes to eat. Forced to wear other people's clothes like a bag lady, etc.
5. Jas has no problem violating her rights of privacy by ignoring various federal and state laws (ADA, Olmsted Act, PA title 20, S 5521, etc) pertaining the rights of those AIP and how the guardians and the guardianship is supposed to be run.
6. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by denying Mother's right to her own lawyer when he refused to inform her that she had a right to pick the lawyer she wanted. Mother had the assets to hire her own lawyer.
7. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by denying Mother her rights during the petition hearing by not bringing in any of her people that would have proven she did not need guardianship. Those people and items would have included her CPA, investors (RJ), housekeeper, Natalee (Mother's weekly hairdresser of 16 years), Dr. Kuhar (Mother's primary doctor of 20 years). Mother had no unpaid bills, no unpaid taxes, still driving her car safely, etc.
8. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by denying her and her right to be there, during the hearing by lying to me that he would defend her and protect her from guardianship so Mother would not need to be there in court.
9. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by refusing to defend Mother against being guardianized in the petition hearing because he WANTED her to be guardianized for mother's assets for himself and the Mafia.
10. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by protecting jsh and by not mentioning in court jsh never cited one example in her petition of Mother doing something that showed she needed a guardian of person or estate.

11. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by having Mother, at 96, go through terror when she was kidnapped by jsh.
12. Jas had no problem of violating her rights of privacy by attacking me, against my Mother's wishes, to imply I would be unfit to be Mother's guardian during the petition hearing.
13. Jas had no problem of violating her rights of privacy by pretending the many notes of affection Mother wrote to me showing him and others how much she loved and cared for me. Jas saw the actual ones in a conference before the petition hearing. But, he said in the petition hearing he was not sure they were written by Mother. Jaskowiak had many weeks after he saw those notes to ask Mother if she wrote them. Jas NEVER asked Mother. Those notes proved Mother wanted and trusted me to take care of her if she ever needed that care.
14. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by attacking the fake Ledakis report during the petition hearing after I proved it was a fake or maybe never even given to Mother. He ignored that fact because it went against what the Mafia wanted which was to put Mother in a cage, evict Arthur against Jane's written wish, sell her house for more money for the Mafia, loot Jane's valuable possessions and start stealing her money.
15. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by ignoring that ledakis said Mother had no problems with daily activities and could live at home and since Arthur had been living there for over a year, everything was fine. Jaskowiak/mafia's plan was to put Mother into a nursing home to also get her house by selling it for money for them.
16. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by ignoring the fact that even though Ledakis said Mother had no problems with daily activities in his report, Ledakis contradicted himself in that same report and said she should have a guardian of person. Jas/mafia did not want Mother and Arthur to stay in her house.
17. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by ignoring Ledakis's poor math in his report when ledakis claimed Mother was 100% "incapacitated", even though ledakis's numbers did not add up to 100%, only about 50%. Even those examples of needed help, Mother did not have to deal with any of those areas, other experts handled those areas, such as investments, tax preparation, bill paying, etc.
18. Jas had no problems with violating her rights of privacy by ignoring Ledakis's so-called "evaluation" did not have any questions of Mother not knowing right from wrong and not having common sense.
19. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by ignoring the fact Ledakis never said in his report Mother needed any guardian of estate but said in court she did need one, WITHOUT citing any examples of Mother needing either guardian of estate or person.
20. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by accepting Ledakis fake "evaluation" as fact, even though I exposed it as being fake in court and also Ledakis admitted in the petition hearing he (ledakis) had no independent proof of its accuracy.

21. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy when he had no problem accepting Ledakis's so-called report belonging ONLY to Mother when because ledakis never audio or video recorded his sessions, Ledakis had no proof his report only pertained to Mother and not 100 or 1,000 other people using a simple cut and paste of one name for another to make money without doing any work writing more reports.
22. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy when he had no problem accepting Ledakis as actually giving a evaluation to Mother, even though ledakis admitted he never audio or video records his "evaluations" to prove what the person said or did not say, how they said it, etc.
23. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy when he had no problem with accepting what ledakis's claim of his so-called expertise without demanding proof of it and his claim of evaluating 3,500 people with memory problems.
24. Jas had no problem with violating her rights of privacy when he accepted Ledakis as a expert, even though Ledakis was never a member of the American Psychological Association and the Pennsylvania Psychological Association. Ledakis had only his PhD for only a year before he met Mother.
25. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy when he had no problem after ledakis kept bragging about his expertise, Ledakis concluded his evaluation report it was only his opinion, NOT factual. It is called CYA: Cover Your Ass from lawsuits.
26. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy when he had no problem putting his friend and fellow thief Logie to be in charge of Jane's person and estate.
27. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy when he later hired his lawyer friend's wife to be Jane's new guardian (pam Blumer) of person, after logie quit, and blumer was part of the guardianship mafia. Blumer then hired her lawyer husband and then her husband hired many of his lawyers at his law firm and charging Jane, his client, all of those massive billing hours.
28. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy when blumer was stealing from Mother, his client, by billing Mother for the people blumer hired which was her expense of operating a business.
29. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by allowing a very dangerous person, deb klock, to be Mother's so-called "care manager" hired by logie and kept on by blumer. If logie was Mother's guardian, why was klock needed? Mother is forced to pay for klock and jas allowed it to happen. Klock has a long history of stealing and over drugging her victims. Why didn't jas do his "due diligence"?
30. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy when he totally ignored the state and federal laws pertaining to guardianship, Mothers rights in them and PA Rules of Conduct for lawyers as Mother's lawyer.

31. Jas had no problem of violating her rights of privacy when he ignored his client by only visiting her twice in 2.5 years since she was at MM when she should have been living back home as per Ledakis's advice and is also state law of least restrictive living.
32. Jas had no problem of violating her rights of privacy by allowing his client to waste massive amounts of money at MM when she could be living at her home for much, much less. About \$90,000 a year compared to the court accepted cost of living at home of only \$16,500 a year at home.
33. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by forcing his client to live in a disease infested pig pen, in solitary confinement, no phone to call him if she needs help. According to Mother's former guardian, pam blumer, she reported in July 2023, MM had 41 active cases of covid where Mother could get it again for the 3rd time and she could have died a very painful death. According to studies, about 39% of the people that die from covid, die in nursing homes.
34. Jas has no problem violating her rights of privacy by forcing Mother to go from a huge 3 bedroom, 3 bath house in a gated community, living happy and healthy everyday as she had been, with no evidence of any problems mental, physical, financial or psychological to live and die in a tiny room, in a locked down section, in solitary confinement, in a sensory deprived environment, no phone allowed, no friends or ministers allowed, not allowed to go home to be with her loved possessions, not allowed a table in her room, not allowed her possessions in her room because it is so tiny and not allowed to even go to a much nicer, much bigger and much cheaper place to live where she could have some of her possessions to make her happy at her age of 99 years old.
35. Jas had no problem of violating her rights of privacy by either ordering or allowing jsh to kidnap his client, Mother, forcing Mother to live with jsh, against her will, being forced to take anti depressants on the first day to keep her quiet, starving and tormenting Mother (his client), denied his client to see and talk to Jane's (his client) son, and after about 2 weeks, Mother had to be taken to the hospital, unconscious, by ambulance, confined to bed for 6 weeks, treated for starvation, daily blood tests (42 times) where her arms were black and blue, daily needles in her stomach to prevent blood clots(42 times), got covid where she could have died, then dumped into a low rated nursing home that had just opened up after a major, months long covid shutdown.
36. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by forcing Mother to live and eat with people with severe medical, mental and behavior problems that usually forces Mother to eat her meals in her room.
37. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by keeping Mother in a locked in section, with men, no locks on her door and no video cameras in Mother's section for the staff to see when someone goes into a woman's room who could rape them or do physical harm, by men on medications and who can go into women's rooms during the night, unseen and could murder them.

38. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by hiring strange people, called monitors and with unknown training, to sit next to Mother and I during our visits, violating hers and my rights of free speech and free expression.
39. Jas had no problem of violating her rights of privacy because he hired those untrained paid liars to supposedly listen accurately, make accurate note, make accurate quotes, while continuing to accurately listen, etc, then use those untrained people notes to reduce and limit visits with Mother, his client.
40. Jas had no problem of violating her rights of privacy by forcing Mother and/or me to pay those “monitors” he knew were fakes and lying just for money.
41. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy when he heard me expose one of those paid liars in court in March 2024 admit she had no training to do what the mafia was paying her to do. Jas refused to apologise or make changes in visits living at home, etc, because the monitors were proven to be liars during the sessions that had a dramatic impact on the visits between Mother and me.
42. Jas and the mafia had no problem violating her rights of privacy by knowingly stealing Mother’s money and Arthur’s to pay for those liars knowing they were going to lie about what I said or did.
43. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by lying in court knowing the statements he made accusing me of saying or doing things according to the monitors were lies.
44. Jas, other guardians and their lawyers claim it would violate Mother’s rights of privacy by having a simple cell phone to record the visits to prove what was said or not said, as compared to jas paid “monitors” who are paid to make up lies for them to be paid and has been used to reduce, limit and deny visits with Mother, his client, and me. Cell phones do not lie, paid liars do.
45. Jas/mafia had no problem violating her rights and my rights of privacy by refusing to file a petition for 2.5 years to prove their claims that I cause Mother to be “agitated” during my visits and phone calls, but when I filed such a petition for them to prove their accusations, the mafia all wanted sterling to deny my petition because they could not prove their lies. Sterling did what they wanted and denied my petition.
46. Jas has no problem violating her rights of privacy by using lies to deny Mother and me valuable time to be together at her elder age. Out of a possible almost 15,024 possible hours (16 hours per day, 8 hours sleeping) that I could have had with Mother from August 25 2021 when the jsh/Mafia kidnapped Mother to April 1 2024, Jas and Mafia have only allowed us 224 hours, as Mother is 99 years old.
47. Jas has no problem violating her rights of privacy by allowing lies about Arthur since she was kidnapped to be used as facts to deny and punish Arthur using visit restrictions and also punish Arthur financially. Those lies include that Arthur “agitates” his mother with

his phone calls and during his visits and causing Mother's legs to be swollen by the food he used to give her. Mother never had any food allergies and no diet restrictions.

48. Jas and the Mafia have no problem violating her rights of privacy and Arthur's by refusing to ever file petitions to prove their lies they use against Arthur made by alleged "staff" or others. Without proof, those lies are only here say liable, slander and defamation of character on Arthur.
49. Jas had no problem of violating Mother's and Arthur's rights of privacy by ignoring U.S. law that says a person is innocent until proven guilty in a court of law and a person has the right to face their accusers. The mafia refused to want to prove their lies on Arthur and used those lies to make life unbearable to mother by not allowing her to have Arthur with her all the time because of the love they have for each other and the happiness they had before this guardianship scam started.
50. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by filing contempt of court charges on Arthur twice, charging Arthur with them and putting Arthur into prison where he could be raped or murdered, WITHOUT ever asking his client, Jane, if that is what she wants, as jas is obligated to do as her lawyer and she has those rights in guardianship.
51. In a October 2019 seminar for lawyers about guardianship, jas was on a panel of 3 and he joked he uses contempt of court charges on people to get his way and to make money. He referred to prison as "3 hots, a cot and Bubba". Bubba is the nickname of a fat black man. Jas is making fun of male rape in prison and rape in general, whether to men, women or children. Each year about 300,000 women report they are raped or sexually assaulted. Each year about 35,000 men report they are raped or sexually assaulted, including boys. The real numbers are much higher. Jas is saying he does not care if he makes it happen to the son of his client. People with those attitudes are sex perverts. They cannot be allowed to have women or anyone as clients.
52. Jas had no problem violating her rights of privacy by forcing her to live with less privileges than a POW has under the Geneva Convention and Mother has less privileges than a person in prison for all types of crime.
53. Those FACTS prove Jaskowiak has never been a lawyer to and for Mother in any way. Since the beginning of this fake guardianship, Mother has never had legal representation.
54. Jas has no problem violating her rights of privacy by only seeing/talking to my Mother(his client) twice in 2.5 years violating the Rules of Conduct for lawyers.
55. Jas did not care about violating Mother's rights of privacy when she had to use the staff phone when she was talking to me and the staff was listening to our conversations.
56. Jas did not care about violating Mother's rights of privacy when the staff was told by logie and blumer to listen at her door when we had lunch together.

- 57.Jas. Did not mind violating Mother's rights of privacy when he ordered/allowed her to be kidnapped from her home on august 25 2021 by her daughter (jsh) and first guardian logie.
58. Jas was Mother's lawyer, appointed by weilheimer, and was supposed to protect her rights of privacy, but has never done so. Mother has never had any legal representation since this fake guardianship was started by jsh.

THOSE FACTS PROVE HOW JASKOWAIK AND THE MAFIA HAVE VIOLATED MOTHER'S RIGHTS OF PRIVACY, RUINED HER LIFE, HER PHYSICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH, HER ASSETS AND HER HAPPINESS FOR THE PAST 3 YEARS UNDER THEIR CONTROL AND OWNERSHIP.