

FENSTERMACHER JUST KEEPS LYING

In December 2023, fenstermacher made many false statement to a petition I filed to get my yearly gift money from Mother to live after weilheimer, jasko rat and fenster evicted me from Mother's house in August 2023. None of them ever asked Mother if she wanted me evicted from her house where I had been living, at her request, for 2 years.

Fenster claims I had him fired as mother's trust lawyer several years ago. That is a lie because it was Mother who fired him because I had found out fenster and my sister tried to steal money from mother without asking her and tried to take control of mother as a person and her vast assets without talking to Mother about it.

One of the lies the mafia kept using was everytime I pointed out a problem, they/ weilheimer would say it had already been answered and they would not discuss it further. They always said I was "relitigating", but, a problem never had been dealt with and I brought it up again for Mother's benefit. I was the only one that kept finding problems affecting Mother, but none of those guardians, their lawyers, jasko rat or corrupt judges ever fixed those problems.

Then, he says I have only been interested in my own interests. That is a disgusting lie. I spent over \$100,000 for lawyer and other costs, lost my house, spent massive amount of time every day on this scam to help Mother get back home and out of their claws. I talked to so many law enforcement and others to get those people in the mafia prosecuted and put into prison. Mother was happy and healthy living at home, doing what she wanted and liked and FREE. She enjoyed every day of her life, until sister/mafia started their scam on Mother and made Mother their ATM machine.

12/4/23



**IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY,
PENNSYLVANIA, ORPHANS' COURT DIVISION**

NO. 2021-X2110

**IN RE: JANE T. HERRING
AN INCAPACITATED PERSON**

**RESPONSE OF RONALD W. FENSTERMACHER, JR. TO THE PETITION OF
ARTHUR HERRING, III. TO COMPEL RONALD FENSTERMACHER TO MAKE
COMPENSATION TO ARTHUR HERRING III AS PROMISED FOR LIVING
ACCOMMODATIONS**

Respondent Ronald W. Fenstermacher, Jr., Plenary Guardian of the Estate of Jane T. Herring ("Jane"), an incapacitated person, files this Response to the Petition of Arthur Herring, III, ("Arthur") to Compel Ronald Fenstermacher to Make Compensation to Arthur as Promised for Living Accommodations (the "Petition") and in furtherance thereof avers as follows:

1. It is admitted that this Court has jurisdiction and venue over this matter.
2. It is admitted that Jane has two adult children, Jill S. Herring and Arthur Herring, III.
3. Admitted in part and denied in part. It is admitted that Arthur is retired. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to whether Arthur has been living in his car. The remaining allegations of this

paragraph, together with much of Arthur's Petition, seek to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied.

4. Denied. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the averments in this paragraph. It is denied that Jane had no problems prior to the Guardianship proceeding based upon the evidence and testimony already before this Court.

5. Denied as a conclusion of law to which no response is required.

6. Denied as a conclusion of law to which no response is required.

7. Denied. The allegations of this paragraph seek to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied.

8. Admitted in part and denied in part as stated. Respondent has been Guardian of Jane's Estate since May 2022. Respondent was Jane's attorney for many years, but Jane is now represented by David A. Jaskowiak, Esquire, who was appointed by this Court. Respondent is the Trustee of Jane's Trust under her Agreement of Trust.

9. Admitted.

10. Denied as a conclusion of law to which no response is required.

11. Admitted.

12. Denied. Strict proof is demanded at trial.

13. Denied. Strict proof is demanded at trial. By way of further response, Arthur attempted to fire Respondent as Jane's attorney when Respondent refused Arthur's request to write a new will for Jane favoring Arthur over his sister.

14. Denied. Respondent has never represented Arthur in any matter and so could not have been fired by him.

15. Denied. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the averments in this paragraph.

16. Denied as stated. Arthur has sent many, many harassing emails to Respondent in which he attempts to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and in which he libels Jane's guardians, the attorneys involved in this matter, and the Court.

17. Denied. The allegations of this paragraph seek to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied.

18. Denied as stated. The allegations of this paragraph seek to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied.

19. Denied. The allegations of this paragraph seek to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied.

20. Denied. The allegations of this paragraph seek to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied.

21. Denied. The allegations of this paragraph seek to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied.

22. Denied as stated. Respondent has advised Arthur that he will not respond to Arthur's attempts to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court through his libelous emails.

23. Admitted in part and denied as stated. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the averments in this paragraph regarding gifts directly from Jane to her children. Admitted that Respondent has made gifts on behalf of Jane from her Trust to her children.

24. Admitted.

[The Petition as filed contains no paragraphs numbered 25 through 37].

38. Denied. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the averments in this paragraph.

39. Denied as stated. The averments in this paragraph seek to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied.

40. Denied as stated. Arthur is asking a question rather than making an assertion. By way of further response, this paragraph seeks to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied.

41. Denied as stated. See response to 40, above.

42. Denied as stated. See response to 40, above.

43. Denied as stated. See response to 40, above.

44. Denied as stated. The averments in this paragraph seek to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied. By way of further response, Arthur has acted at all times for his own interests and not for Jane's interests.

45. Denied as stated. See response to 44, above.

46. Denied as stated. See response to 44, above.

47. Admitted in part. It is admitted as to approximate values.

48. Denied as stated. The averments in this paragraph seek to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied.

49. Denied as stated. The averments in this paragraph seek to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied.

50. Denied as stated. The averments in this paragraph seek to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied.

51. Denied as stated. The averments in this paragraph seek to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied.

52. Denied as stated. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the averments in this paragraph.


53. Denied. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the averments in this paragraph.

54. Denied as stated. Arthur is asking a question rather than making an assertion.

55. Denied as stated. The averments in this paragraph seek to relitigate issues previously ruled upon by the Court and are denied. By way of further

response, Arthur has acted at all times for his own interests and not for Jane's interests.

WHEREFORE, Respondent respectfully requests that this Honorable Court dismiss the Petition to Compel Ronald Fenstermacher to Make Compensation to Arthur Herring III as Promised for Living Accommodations.


Ronald W. Fenstermacher, Jr., Esq.
Attorney ID #60616
Four Tower Bridge, PMB 8849
200 Barr Harbor Drive, Suite 400
West Conshohocken, PA 19428
(484) 253-8544
rfenstermacher@fenstermacherlaw.com
Attorney for Ronald W. Fenstermacher, Jr.,
Plenary Guardian of the Estate of Jane T.
Herring, an Incapacitated Person

12/4/23