

JASKOWIAK CONTINUES TO LIE AND ATTACK ARTHUR

"The bigger the lie, the more people will believe it. The more often you tell that lie, more people will it". Joseph Goebels, Hitler's propaganda minister.

Very interesting quote and was constantly used by the guardian mafia at me. My "crime" was exposing the fake guardianship and corruption on Mother by them.

On April 22 2024, jaskowiak filed a reply to another one of my Motions. As with the rest of those in the mafia, they continued to lie about me in many ways, including what I supposedly did and how I was wasting Mother's money. They did not want to remember it was THEY, including a corrupt judge weilheimer and later sterling, Mother's corrupt lawyer jaskowiak (appointed by weilheimer), corrupt guardians logie, fenstermacher, pam blumer, ellis, their lawyers bill blumer, gelsinger, other lawyers at barley/Snyder, a corrupt "care manager" klock, a corrupt quack psychologist ledakis and Mother's daughter jsh, who started the fake guardianship and scam on Mother. I was the only one who was always trying to get Mother home, keep her healthy and happy.

In his reply, Jasko rat continued the use of insults, instead of using anything close to being facts. He relies on using rules that only lawyers would know in how to write a petition or motion, INSTEAD of using facts OR just working out problems with me that existed that affected Mother's health and happiness. Why did the mafia do things that way? MORE billing hours for them. That means MORE money for them. Jane, their client at 96-99, be damned.

It was jaskowiak, camp and weilheimer, all experts in guardian laws and rules AND the PA Rules of Conduct for lawyers, who were there in court at day 1 of the petition hearing. Camp wrote sister's petition for guardianship. ALL 3 lawyers, including camp, knew camp's petition did NOT have the necessary information to be a legal petition for guardianship. Both weilheimer and/or jaskowiak (Mother's lawyer whose job was to protect and defend Mother) should have demanded camp/sister's petition be denied. Neither of them did.

Plus, PA law also demands the AIP MUST be in court or the court must go to the AIP. If not, the petition is not legal. But, if a medical doctor says the person would be mentally harmed if they came to court, the AIP did not have to be in the court room Both weilheimer and jasko rat refused to have Mother ever come into court to speak for herself for the entire 3 years of her ownership by the mafia. BUT, the quack ledakis said in his report that Mother COULD come to court and she would not have any mental duress.

Ledakis also said Mother had no problems with her daily activities and she could live at home. So, WHY was she (Mother) kidnapped by logie and jsh from her (mother) home on august 25 2021, forced to live at jsh's home against Mother's wishes, drugged to keep Mother

quiet, starved to the point of unconsciousness, had to be taken to the hospital by ambulance, confined to bed for 6 weeks and treated for starvation. At week 5 mother got covid and could have died.

There was NEVER a court order by weilheimer that said for Mother to be kidnapped from her home To this day, NO body in the mafia has ever responded to my emails asking who ordered the kidnapping of Mother and refusing to allow Mother to live at home, with her much loved possessions of almost 100 years, her happy living style, in her large house in a gated community and FREE.

Jasko rat made a claim that I refused to meet with the new and last "guardian" of person ellis. Ellis was picked by jaskowiak, but was never certified to be a guardian. Why would jasko rat want a untrained person to be a guardian of his elderly client? Jasko always knew who ever he picked, for anything, he could always control them for his benefit. The fact was, the mafia's game was to have their untrained "monitors" (paid liars) to sit with Mother and me during the 1 hour visits. The mafia refused to let me record those visits with my cell phone to prove that I did not violate any rules or get Mother "agitated" as the guardians claimed. The paid liars would write lies in their reports about me. Those lies were used to drastically restrict and deny visits with Mother. I or mother were paying for those paid liars, originally hired by pam blumer. I realized that as long as I had to do vists the mafia way, they would use those lies, at some point, to deny my visits with mother forever. Damned if I did, damned if I did not.

Jasko brings up me delivering my news letters exposing him and others of the guardian scam on my Mother. It's called freedom of the press and it is in the constitution. Did jasko miss that class? Jasko was also obsessed with the weekly radio show I was on every week for about 1.5 years exposing guardianship corruption. I often mentioned jasko and others by name, including corrupt judges, guardians, etc. Jasko would listen to it every week and charge Mother for doing so.

Jasko seems to have been the leader of the mafia and its scam. He and others made up every lie possible about me to keep the attention AWAY from them and their illegal scam on Mother and stealing her money. Jasko mentions that some of Mother's things wanted to be removed from her house for Mother. Why not just put her back home???? Jasko says I would not allow anyone in the house while I was living there to get things for Mother. Nobody ever asked to come in! Nothing was ever taken from Mother's house by the mafia for her like the mafia wanted. It was just more lies to make me look like the enemy, while the mafia used a fake guardianship to steal Mother's massive assets.

On page 5, #2, jasko is demanding a court order to FORCE me to meet with a new monitor who was hired after the second one, mary ellen, quit. The fact was, at my 3rd contempt charge by jasko, during the winter 2024 trial with sterling, mary ellen was on Zoom. I

proved mary ellen was lying many times in her testimony. I humiliated her and jasko was pissed because he claimed what she said were facts in his contempt petition. Cry baby jasko demanded I meet this new monitor ONLY because the next visit with my mother with this new monitor, more new lies would be made up and my visits would be more restricted or banned forever. WTF?

4/22/24

**IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
ORPHANS' COURT DIVISION**

NO. 2021-X2110

**IN RE: JANE T. HERRING
AN INCAPACITATED PERSON**

**REPLY AND NEW MATTER OF JANE T. HERRING TO THE "ANSWER"
OF REV. ARTHUR HERRING, III, TO THE COURT'S ORDER OF APRIL 3, 2024
(WHICH WAS ERRONEOUSLY CAPTIONED AND IS BEING RESPONDED TO
AS A "MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION")**

Respondent, Jane T. Herring, by and through David A. Jaskowiak, Esquire, her court-appointed counsel, hereby files this Reply and New Matter to the Answer of Arthur Herring, III, (which has erroneously been captioned and is being responded to as a "Motion for Reconsideration") and sets forth the following:

1. It is admitted that this Court properly has jurisdiction of this matter.
- 2-91. Denied. Initially, Respondent Jane T. Herring respectfully submits that the pleading filed by Rev. Arthur Herring, III, ("*Arthur*") is *improper* and is *not* permitted by Orphans Court Rule 3.6, which strictly limits pleadings to a "Petition" or "Motion," an "Answer," a "Reply," or "Preliminary Objections." As such, Arthur's "Answer" is *not* a proper filing in response to the Court's Order and is not authorized by the rules of procedure. It

is therefore being viewed as a "Motion for Reconsideration" and a request that the Order be stayed pending further hearing by this Court. Respondent denies each of the averments of Arthur's Answer for all of the reasons previously stated in multiple filings and in open court, all of which are hereby incorporated by reference.

In light of the fact that Arthur has now apparently sought reconsideration of the Court's April 3, 2024 Order, Respondent requests that the Order be **STAYED** with respect to Arthur's right to visit his mother and that visitation *not* proceed until the time of hearing or until further Order of this Court. It is important to note that Arthur has now refused to cooperate with or meet the Court's designated visitation supervisor. In fact, despite the court's ongoing, multiple admonitions not to re-litigate issues which were already been decided by this Court, and despite this Court's multiple requests for him to maintain civility and respect for the parties involved, Arthur has increased and amplified his grievances to the point that he has now filed 91 paragraphs of caustic, vicious comments about this guardianship matter. Arthur also informed the current guardian of the person, Cynthia Ellis, that he did not have the time to meet with the Court's own designated visitation supervisor, Ms. Eurinika Harris, on Wednesday, April 17, 2024. However, Arthur did *not* provide, and continues to *refuse* to provide, alternate dates and times to meet with Ms. Harris, which is essential to implement the Court's April 3, 2024 and provide meaningful interactions by Arthur with his mother Jane. Instead, Arthur has returned to his oft-stated position that supervision is unnecessary and that his mother should return to live at her previous home at 26 Chancery Court in Souderton and that he should be allowed to live with her. Once again, Arthur has demonstrated that he is unable

to comprehend the reality of his mother's medical condition and her significant cognitive impairments.

Respondent notes that while Arthur has stated that he was "unable" to meet with Ms. Harris, he has somehow "found the time" to continue his relentless, multiple, almost daily email screeds to Respondent's court-appointed counsel, to the guardian of the person and to the guardian of the estate.¹ Arthur *also* somehow "found the time" to visit the neighborhood where Respondent counsel lives and "found the time" to harass Respondent's neighbors, none of whom have any involvement in or familiarity with this proceeding, by personally hand-delivering a single space, two page screed on April 10, 2024. See Exhibit "A." That screed was circulated throughout the neighborhood, and was put under each of the neighbors' door mats (and in some cases slipped under their front doors). Clearly, the intent was to instill fear in the neighbors where Respondent's counsel lives and to attempt to intimidate and embarrass him or worse. Respondent has also confirmed with a number of his neighbors who have indicated that they are concerned about their own safety and the safety of their young children. The incident has been reported to local law enforcement authorities, who are now investigating Arthur's actions.

As stated to the Court at the time of the March 20, 2024 hearing, Arthur's neighborhood door-to-door campaign is intentional and is a part of his *modus operandi*. On his podcast on March 25, 2023 (*where he disingenuously and falsely identifies himself*

¹Rather than further clutter the record at this time with Arthur's rants, Respondent will provide copies to the Court if required upon request or at the time of hearing.

as "Reverend Ralph"), Arthur stated:²

@ 11:46, "What I recommend is spend a couple of hundred dollars, hire a private investigator . . . then send letters out to their neighbors and tell them what they are and what they are doing. That embarrasses and humiliates them."

@12:08 Referencing a tactic where political operatives harassed certain political leaders in public places during the Trump administration, Arthur (as "Reverend Ralph") said, "That's the same thing that you have to do here. Let the neighbors know what they're doing. It might cause them to think twice about keeping the case."

The full podcast can be found at: <https://www.blogtalkradio.com/marti-oakley/2023/03/25/ts-radio-network-peaceful-protests>

None of Arthur's behavior is for a legitimate purpose and only serves to underscore the position taken by Respondent's counsel at the time of the last two hearings and throughout these proceedings that Arthur's grievances are not in Jane's best interests and are only advanced by Arthur to fit his own narrative and to serve his own personal interests.

New Matter

Respondent has been advised that the guardians wish to retrieve certain personal possessions belonging to Jane Herring from her former residence at 26 Chancery Court in Souderton for her personal use at Manatawny Manor where she currently lives. Respondent proposes allowing entrance to the guardians and/or their designees,

²The incredible irony of one who now demands to be recognized as "Reverend" (i.e., a "man of the cloth") assuming a false persona and misrepresenting himself to his podcast listeners cannot be understated.

accompanied by either Respondent or Ronald Fenstermacher, Esquire, the current guardian of estate. The items removed will be catalogued and the list will be available to the Court and/or interested parties as the Court may direct. The Court had previously ordered that removal of Jane's personal items was not allowed without prior authorization of Court. Removal was further complicated by the fact that entry to the premises was not possible while Arthur was inhabiting the premises. He has since vacated, and access to the property is possible. Respondent believes, and therefore avers, that the retrieval of personal possessions may add to the quality of life that Jane currently enjoys

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court grant the following relief forthwith:

1. Issue an Order staying this Court's Order dated April 3, with respect to allowing any visitation by Rev. Arthur Herring, III of his mother Jane T. Herring until further hearing and Order of this court.
2. Require Rev. Arthur Herring, III to meet with Ms. Eurinika Harris, the Court's designated visitation supervisor at a time and on a date which is mutually convenient and at a location arranged by and/or approved by this Court.
3. Strike and/or overrule Rev. Arthur Herring, III's "Answer" and direct that any future court filings not re-litigate issues already decided by this Honorable Court and that each filing be in a format authorized by Rules of Procedure or the court filing will be struck *sua sponte* without the need for

further response by any party involved in this matter or any party against whom a filing by Rev. Arthur Herring, III is directed.

4. Schedule a hearing forthwith on this matter regarding the security concerns which have been raised regarding the ongoing inappropriate behavior of Rev. Arthur Herring, III.
5. Authorize the guardians of person and estate, and/or their designated representatives, to enter the premises at 26 Chancery Court in Souderton for the purpose of retrieving personal items belonging to Jane T. Herring for her personal use at Manatawny Manor.

Respectfully submitted,



DAVID A. JASKOWIAK, ESQUIRE

Identification No.: 49753

815 Greenwood Avenue, Suite 14

Jenkintown, PA 19046

(215) 886-7720

FAX: (215) 886-7728

davidjas@davidjaslaw.com

*Court-Appointed Counsel for Jane T. Herring, an
Incapacitated Person*

DATED: April 22, 2024