

QUACK LEDAKIS CLAIMS MOTHER WILL GET WORSE AND HE COULD GO BACK IN TIME

Ledakis claimed he was certain, somehow, that Mother will get worse with her memory. He also claims he can go back in time, a full 1.5 years, and know what Mother's memory was. BS! Originally, weilheimer only wanted ledakis to think back 6 months, but camp wanted ledakis to go back 1.5 years to cancel out Mother's new Will with the new lawyer, Fravel. Weilheimer told ledakis to go back 1.5 years. Corruption!

1

2 to her --

3

THE WITNESS: Yes.

4

MR. JASKOWIAK: I'm sorry.

5

THE COURT: -- her ability to be --

6

THE WITNESS: I was able to make a

7

decision, and my opinion on the matter was that

8

Ms. Herring is susceptible to undue influence.

9

BY MR. JASKOWIAK:

10

Q Did you have any concerns whether or not she would

11

be at risk for any kind of financial exploitation or

12

mismanagement of her funds if she did not have someone

13

looking out for those funds --

14

A Yes.

15

Q -- a guardian or somebody else?

16

A Yes. The nature of her cognitive impairment is

17

such that she is very susceptible to that.

18

Q One of the things that the Court asked you to do

19

was to do a retrospective evaluation of Mrs. Herring

20

going back to January of 2020, and -- so basically a

21

year and a half or so. In trying to make that

22

evaluation, how did you go about -- first of all, I

23

assume that you were able to do that; correct? You

24

were able to go back and to evaluate as to what her

25

condition was going back to January of 2020?

1

2 A So yes. In my report I documented in December of
3 2020, simply because of the --

4 Q The POA?

5 A Yes. And the -- yes. But I was able to opine on
6 that.

7 Q Did it preexist -- did your opinion about her
8 incapacity go back beyond the December 2020 power of
9 attorney, et cetera, that were drawn up? The power of
10 attorney, the trust, the will, that kind of thing. Did
11 your finding of incapacity extend back further in time
12 beyond November, December of 2020 when they were
13 brought up?

14 A If the question is beyond the last -- that year,
15 yes. I probably could not provide an opinion, you
16 know, five years before that, but yes. No, certainly
17 for the last year, yes, I can --

18 Q You reviewed Dr. Kuhar's reports you said?

19 A I did.

20 Q Did you take into account, at least in part in
21 forming your opinion, the reports and records of
22 Dr. Kuhar? In part.

23 A Yeah. It's a hard -- I hesitate because there was
24 some inconsistencies in Dr. Kuhar's report. You know,
25 she references mild cognitive impairment, then