

LEDAKIS REPORT PROVES MOTHER COULD STAY AT HOME AND COULD BE IN COURT

1. This proves how desperate the guardian mafia was to get Mother's money by claiming she was "incapacitated". But, they lied by their own admissions with ledakis report. Ledakis claims Mother was 100% incapacitated. His own numbers never come close of Mother being 100% incapacitated. Ledakis says Mother had NO problem with her daily activities and with me living with Mother (at her request at 96) for 2 years, everything was fine. WHY did he say she needs a guardian of person? But, he never said she needs a guardian of estate. So, why did Mother have to have one? Look at his other categories. He claims she needs some assistance in minor areas. LIE! She did not. He claimed she was totally impaired in certain areas. LIE! The mafia REFUSED to let me testify on the stand because they knew I could destroy the credibility of ledakis's report. Ledakis admitted, by my questions, he had NO independent proof of accuracy for any of the tests he gave Mother in their only 2, 1 hour sessions, he had NO proof of accuracy for his "evaluation report" of mother and he never audio or video recorded his sessions with Mother to prove what she said or did not say. That means he had NO proof he ever gave Mother any type of evaluation for a court of law. WTF? So, ledakis, a psychologist of only one year is saying just take his word for what he is claiming for a 96 year old woman, who will have the rest of her life changed forever by being owned by people who only want her money? WTF? Mother had all of her bills auto paid and her vast assets were and had been managed by a investment business for 22 years. Mother was living off of the interest of those investments. Mother owned her large house and owned her 20 year old car. Where was the problem she need guardians of person and estate?????

2. The guardian mafia always refused to let mother come to court to testify for herself at her petition hearing and all other times. The law says she had that right. This mafia claimed Mother would suffer mental harm if she came to court. BS! Ledakis report of 7/5 21 said she would not have any side effects if she was in court. The fact is, the mafia, including judges weilheimer and sterling, KNEW Mother was totally able to speak with logic and intelligence, about any matter pertaining to her. The mafia wanted always, including by court orders, to keep Mother ignorant of what was going on and her rights as a AIP. All part of the mafia's ownership of Mother only for her money.

11. What recommendations have you made or would you make concerning services necessary to meet the essential requirements for the A/P's physical health and safety?
 Ms. Herring appears to be receiving an appropriate level of support through her son (who resides with her) for her basic physical safety and ability to thrive, as necessary due to her progressive neurological condition (dementia). She is need, however, of a Plenary Guardian of her Person

10. For any response in Question 9 where the A/P "needs some help," please describe the type and extent of assistance needed.
 Although Ms. Herring is not capable of safely living alone, she does not need 24/7 supervision (can be left alone for periods of time) as her basic judgment and safety-awareness are relatively preserved. She needs support for novel and emergency situations as well as with the management of all instrumental ADLs.

	Unimpaired	Needs Some Help (Explain in #10)	Totally Impaired	Not Assessed or Not Enough Information
Receiving and evaluating information effectively	☐	☐	☒	☐
Communicating decisions	☐	☒	☐	☐
Ability to give informed consent	☐	☒	☐	☐
Short-term memory	☐	☐	☒	☐
Long-term memory	☐	☒	☐	☐
Activities of daily living	☒	☐	☐	☐
Managing finances (including paying bills, making deposits, withdrawals and working with financial institutions)	☐	☐	☒	☐
Managing health care (including following doctor's orders and managing/taking medications)	☐	☐	☒	☐
Providing for physical safety	☐	☒	☐	☐
Responding to emergency situations	☐	☐	☒	☐
Ability to resist scams	☐	☐	☒	☐

9. Indicate the A/P's ability to perform the following functions:

2.

17. The AIP is required to be at the hearing, absent circumstances that could cause harm to the AIP. Putting aside whether the court proceeding may be moderately upsetting to, confusing to or not understood by the AIP, do you believe that the AIP's presence at the hearing would cause harm to the AIP's physical or mental condition?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Indicate reason for response:

While the court proceedings would be upsetting to Ms. Herring, and further fuel her paranoid beliefs regarding her daughter who initiated the petition, it is the examiner's opinion that Ms. Herring's appearance in court would not cause any physical harm or any true mental harm.

18. Please provide any additional information that could assist the court in determining incapacity.

Please see attached report corresponding with neuropsychological evaluation of Ms. Herring for details related to evidence and rationale for clinical opinions provided by the undersigned examiner related to Ms. Herring's Decisional, Requisite, and Testamentary Capacity.

I verify that the foregoing information is correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief; and that this verification is subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relative to unsworn falsification to authorities.

07/05/2021

Date

Signature

George E. Ledakis

George E. Ledakis, PhD

Name (type or print)

5 Christy Drive; Suite 207

Address

Chadds Ford, PA 19317

City, State, Zip

484-841-6725

Telephone

LedakisG@yahoo.com

Email