

FENSTERMACHER REFUSED TO CARE ABOUT MOTHER, THOSE MANY PROBLEMS AFFECTING
MOTHER AND WHAT WAS CAUSING MOTHER PAIN AND SUFFERING AS THE MAFIA'S
PROPERTY

J

Ronald Fenstermacher, Jane Herring's Guardian of Estate

1. As of June 12, 2023, the following statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
2. Guardian of Estate to Jane, ronald fenstermacher, has constantly refused to carry out his responsibilities and obligations under the law as a guardian appointed by the court and as a lawyer to Jane of about 21 years.
3. Fenstermacher was appointed Guardian of the Estate to Jane in about May 2022, replacing logie.
4. Fenstermacher has refused to stop the financial squandering of Jane's estate and Arthur's future inheritance by allowing Jane to be kept in a tiny pig pen, in solitary confinement, in a sensory deprived environment at \$90,000 a year.
5. The cost of Jane living at home was established by the court of \$16,500 a year.
6. Arthur has found many much nicer, much bigger and much cheaper places for Jane to live, but both guardians refused to let Jane stay at home (as the ledakis report #1 said she could) or in those much better places. No reason given by them.
7. Fenstermancher has refused to tell blumer that there was no agreement signed that blumer could charge Jane, fenstermacher's client and guardian, people blumer hired as her staff, including many lawyers and care manager klock that blumer has kept on for Jane, at Jane's expense, but who was originally hired by logie.
8. Fenstermacher has refused to follow Jane's wishes of what she would want (if she was asked by fenstermacher) for her son Arthur, which includes Arthur staying in her house for free and getting the house after Jane dies.
9. Fenstermacher has refused to be concerned, as a part of Jane's guardianship, to be aware of the physical, medical, mental and financial harm blumer has been doing to Jane for a year since she was appointed Guardian of Person of mother.

10. Fenstermacher signed off to sell Jane's house about 6 months ago and to evict Arthur, all against what Jane would want for herself and Arthur if Jane was asked by Fenstermacher.
11. If Fenstermacher and the others keep Jane in her current very expensive, tiny pig pen and sell Jane's house, then all of her loved possessions, of the past 98 years, would have to be trashed since there is NO room in her tiny pig pen to put even a vase of flowers, let alone anything of Jane's possessions.
12. How is Fenstermacher following the requirements and obligations as a guardian for Jane, his client.
13. There are no tables or closet space in Jane's pig pen. Jane basically has to put most of her things on the floor in plastic bags.
14. Fenstermacher admitted in court he has not seen or talked to his client Jane, her Guardian of Estate, for about 2.5 years, but he is making drastic decisions for her without discussing them with her and violating state law of PA.
15. Fenstermacher's refusal to discuss and act on his client's wants and wishes, clearly violate his obligations and requirements as her Guardian of Estate and her trust lawyer.
16. If Fenstermacher fails to consult with his client, he will be also violating his oath when he became a lawyer, mainly to do what Jane wants as his client, as much as possible and if he does not want to do so, he can quit as her lawyer.
17. If Fenstermacher sells Jane's house, unless he or blumer find Jane another place to live where she can keep some of her loved possessions, all of them, including valuable antiques, will have to be trashed since there is absolutely no room in her current pig pen to keep anything and there are no tables to put things on.
18. According to the best available information, Jane's house is worth about \$450,000. According to a financial statement from Jane's investment company, Raymond James, from about 4 years ago, Jane had about \$1.8 million.
19. Why is Fenstermacher allowing Jane to pay for blumer and her hired help that, were never approved to be hired, but Jane has to pay them? Fenstermacher allowing blumer to do that is constantly depleting Jane's assets, instead of saving

Jane's money by having her taken back home as ledakis said she could stay in his report #1.

20. Fenstermacher, as guardian of the estate, refuses to get involved with managing Jane's money now and Arthur's future money as his inheritance.
21. Fenstermacher is refusing to manage blumer's lawyers massive spending of Jane's funds when it is obvious those lawyers are simply making up wastefull billing hours for themselves and their law firm.
22. The court has accepted the cost of Jane's home per year is about \$16,500, including property tax, homeowners fees, insurance, etc. Why is Fenstermacher refusing to save Jane the massive amount of money by taking her out of the pig pen and letting her live at home?
23. Why has fenstermacher, who is in charge of Jane's money and future inheritance of Arthur, refusing to order blumer to stop wasting Jane's money and if Jane cannot go home, demand blumer find Jane a much nicer, much bigger and much cheaper place to live since blumer is the guardian of person of Jane and is responsible to where Jane lives and how she lives?
24. I have found many much bigger, much nicer and much cheaper places for Jane to live (if not at home), but Fenstermacher has refused to act, especially for Jane's happiness and in case she may need the money for any future medical issues, such as a stroke.
25. Living at home, if Jane ever needs extra help is far, far cheaper than Jane being forced to be kenneled in her current pig pen by blumer, jaskowiak and fenstermacher.
26. While fenstermacher, jaskowiak, blumer and other lawyers of their picking continue to live in luxury from Jane's money, Jane is forced to live worse than a homeless person. A homeless person has the ability to enjoy their life and their freedom. My Mother, under the ownership of blumer, fenstermacher and jaskowiak for the past 2 years, does not have any freedom or enjoyment of life at age 98.